arXiv:1407.6917v1 [nlin.SI] 25 Jul 2014

THE RATIONAL SOLUTIONS OF THE MIXED NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

JINGSONG HE [1*], SHUWEI XU [2], YI CHENG [2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, P. R. China
[2]School of Mathematical Sciences, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China

Abstract. The mixed nonlinear Schrödinger (MNLS) equation is a model for the propagation of the Alfvén wave in plasmas and the ultrashort light pulse in optical fibers with two nonlinear effects of self-steepening and self phase-modulation(SPM), which is also the first non-trivial flow of the integrable Wadati-Konno-Ichikawa(WKI) system. The determinant representation T_n of a n-fold Darboux transformation(DT) for the MNLS equation is presented. The smoothness of the solution $q^{[2k]}$ generated by T_{2k} is proved for the two cases (non-degeneration and double-degeneration) through the iteration and determinant representation. Starting from a periodic seed(plane wave), rational solutions with two parameters a and b of the MNLS equation are constructed by the DT and the Taylor expansion. Two parameters denote the contributions of two nonlinear effects in solutions. We show an unusual result: for a given value of a, the increasing value of b can damage gradually the localization of the rational solution, by analytical forms and figures. A novel two-peak rational solution with variable height and a non-vanishing boundary is also obtained.

Key words: mixed nonlinear Schrödinger equation, rogue wave, rational solution, Darboux transformation.
PACS(2010) numbers: 05.45.Yv, 42.65.Tg, 52.35.Bj,94.05.Fg,
MSC(2010) numbers: 35C08, 35C11, 37K40,78A60, 82D10,

1. Introduction

Rogue wave (RW) has been introduced and become an interesting objective in the investigation of oceanography [1, 2](and references therein), starting with modeling a short-lived large amplitude wave in ocean. Recently, rogue waves have also been observed in photonic crystal fibers [3, 4], in space plasmas [5–7], in Bose-Einstein condensates [8], in water tanks [9–11], and so on.

One of widely accepted prototypes of rogue wave in one dimensional space and time is considered as Peregrine soliton [12–14] of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), which usually takes the form of a single dominant peak accompanied by one deep cave at each side in a plane with a nonzero boundary. In other words, the characteristic property of the RW is localization in both space and time directions in a nonzero plane. The existence of this solution is due to modulation instability of the NLS equation [12,15–18]. In consequence, different patterns of the RW will occur when two or more breathers with different relative phase shifts collide with each other [19–26]. One of the possible generating mechanisms for rogue waves is through the creation of breathers possessing a particular frequency, which is realized theoretically by choosing a special eigenvalue in breathers [26]. Recently,by applying Darboux transformation(DT) [27–30],

* Corresponding author: hejingsong@nbu.edu.cn,jshe@ustc.edu.cn.

the rogue waves [31–33] of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS) are also given in the form of "Peregrine soliton".

In the field of optics, the nonlinear terms in the NLS and DNLS denote the effects of phase-modulation (SPM) and self-steepening, respectively. So it is natural and worthwhile to look for an integrable equation with these two terms from the points of view of mathematics and physics. There is indeed such an integrable equation-a mixed NLS (MNLS) equation [34, 35]

$$q_t - iq_{xx} + a(q^*q^2)_x + ibq^*q^2 = 0, \tag{1}$$

in physics. Here q represents a complex field envelope and asterisk denotes complex conjugation, a and b are two non-negative constants, and subscript x (or t) denotes partial derivative with respect to x (or t). The MNLS equation is used to model the propagations of the Alfvén waves in plasmas [34] and the ultrashort light pulse in optical fibersc [35]. Moreover, the MNLS equation can also be given by following coupled system

$$r_t + ir_{xx} - a(r^2q)_x + ibr^2q = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$q_t - iq_{xx} - a(rq^2)_x - ibrq^2 = 0, \tag{3}$$

under a condition $r = -q^*$. This coupled system is nothing but the first non-trivial flow of the Wadati-Konno-Ichikawa(WKI) system [36], and the corresponding Lax pair is given by the WKI spectral problem and a time flow [36]

$$\partial_x\psi = (-aJ\lambda^2 + Q_1\lambda + Q_0)\psi = U\psi, \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_t\psi = (-2a^2J\lambda^4 + V_3\lambda^3 + V_2\lambda^2 + V_1\lambda + V_0)\psi = V\psi, \tag{5}$$

with

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2b} & aq \\ ar & -\sqrt{2b} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}q \\ i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}r & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4a\sqrt{2b} & 2a^2q \\ 2a^2r & -4a\sqrt{2b} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4ib - ia^2rq & 3ia\sqrt{2b}q \\ 3ia\sqrt{2b}r & -(4ib - ia^2rq) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{2b}qr & -2bq + iaq_x + a^2rq^2 \\ -2br - iar_x + a^2qr^2 & -a\sqrt{2b}qr \end{pmatrix}, V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}ibqr & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}b(-q_x + iarq^2) \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}b(r_x + iar^2q) & -\frac{1}{2}ibqr \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, is called the eigenvalue(or spectral parameter), and ψ is called the eigenfunction associated with λ of the WKI system. Equations(2) and (3) are equivalent to the integrability condition $U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0$ of (4) and (5). In addition, the MNLS equation can be generated from other systems (or equations) in the literatures [37–40]. The MNLS equation is exactly solved by the inverse scattering method under the non-vanishing boundary condition [41]. Later, Periodic solutions of the MNLS equation are be analyzed in terms of the Riemann's θ functions [42]. Some special solutions, such as breather solutions, of this equation are also discussed in Ref [43, 44]. At the same time, the solutions of the MNLS equation have been constructed via Backlund or Darboux transformation [45–48] and the Hirota method [49, 50]. What is more, using the matrix Riemann-Hilbert factorization approach, asymptotic analysis of the MNLS equation is discussed in Ref. [51,52]. Considering many wave propagation phenomena described by integrable equations in some ideal conditions, the effects of small perturbations on the MNLS equation are study by the direct soliton perturbation theory [53] and the perturbation

theory based on the inverse scattering transform [54, 55]. Recently, the semiclassical analysis of the MNLS has been studied in Ref. [56–58].

In light of the above results, two questions arise naturally. First, is there a rational solution of the MNLS equation which can be generated from a periodic seed by DT and Taylor expansion? Second, how the localization of this rational solution is affected by the two nonlinear effects through the a and b? For the first question, the first order and the second order rational solutions are given explicitly by the determinant representation of the DT and Taylor expansion with respect to the degenerate eigenvalues. To answer the second question, according to a common understanding of the role for the nonlinear effects in wave propagation, one reasonable conjecture is that the localization of this solution will be enhanced because of the appearance of the two nonlinear effects. However we shall show an unusual result: for a given value of a, the increasing value of b can damage gradually the localization of the rational solution.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we provide a relatively simple approach to DT for the WKI system, and then the expressions of the $q^{[n]}$, $r^{[n]}$ and $\psi_j^{[n]}$ of the WKI system are generated by n-fold Darboux transformation. The reduction of DT for the WKI system to the MNLS equation is also discussed by choosing paired eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. In section 3, the smoothness of the solutions $q^{[2k]}$ generated by T_{2k} is proved for the two cases (non-degeneration and double-degeneration). In section 4, we present the rational solutions of the MNLS and discuss its localized properties for a given value of a. Finally, we summary our results in section 5.

2. Darboux transformation

Inspired by the results of the DT for the NLS [27,28] and the DNLS [29–32], the main task of this section is to present a detailed derivation of the Darboux transforation of the MNLS and the determinant representation of the n-fold transformation. It is easy to see that the spectral problem (4) and (5) are transformed to

$$\psi^{[1]}{}_x = U^{[1]}\ \psi^{[1]}, \quad U^{[1]} = (T_x + T\ U)T^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

$$\psi^{[1]}{}_t = V^{[1]}\ \psi^{[1]}, \quad V^{[1]} = (T_t + T\ V)T^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

under a gauge transformation

$$\psi^{[1]} = T\ \psi. \tag{8}$$

By cross differentiating (6) and (7), we obtain

$$U^{[1]}{}_t - V^{[1]}{}_x + [U^{[1]}, V^{[1]}] = T(U_t - V_x + [U, V])T^{-1}. \tag{9}$$

This implies that, in order to make eqs.(2) and eq.(3) invariant under the transformation (8), it is crucial to search a matrix T so that $U^{[1]}$, $V^{[1]}$have the same forms as U, V. At the same time the old potential(or seed solution)(q, r) in spectral matrixes U, V are mapped into new potentials (or new solution)($q^{[1]}$, $r^{[1]}$) in transformed spectral matrixes $U^{[1]}$, $V^{[1]}$. Next, it is necessary to parameterize the matrix T by the eigenfunctions associated with the seed solution.

2.1 **One-fold Darboux transformation of the WKI system**

Without losing any generality, let Darboux matrix T be the form of

$$T_1 = T_1(\lambda;\lambda_1) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}\lambda + \begin{pmatrix} i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}a_1 & b_0 \\ c_0 & i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}d_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Here a_1, d_1, b_0 and c_0 are undetermined function of $(x,\ t)$, which will be parameterized by the eigenfunction associated with λ_1 and seed (q,r) in the WKI spectral problem. Refer to Appendix I for detail derivation.

First of all, we introduce n eigenfunctions ψ_j as

$$\psi_j = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_j \\ \varphi_j \end{pmatrix},\quad j = 1,2,....n, \phi_j = \phi_j(x,t,\lambda_j),\ \varphi_j = \varphi_j(x,t,\lambda_j). \tag{11}$$

Theorem 1. *The elements of one-fold DT are parameterized by the eigenfunction ψ_1 associated with λ_1 as*

$$\begin{aligned} &d_1 = \frac{1}{a_1},\ a_1 = -\frac{\varphi_1}{\phi_1}\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)), \\ &b_0 = (\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)),\ c_0 = (\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

then

$$T_1(\lambda;\lambda_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\varphi_1}{\phi_1}\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t))(\lambda + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}) & (\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ (\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t) & -\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1}\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t))(\lambda + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

T_1 implies following new solutions

$$\begin{aligned} q^{[1]} &= (\frac{\varphi_1}{\phi_1})^2\exp(-2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t))q - 2i\frac{\varphi_1}{\phi_1}(\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(-2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)), \\ r^{[1]} &= (\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1})^2\exp(2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t))r + 2i\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1}(\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and corresponding new eigenfunction

$$\psi_j^{[1]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\phi_1}\begin{vmatrix} -(\lambda_j + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\phi_j & \varphi_j \\ -(\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \end{vmatrix}\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \\ \frac{1}{\varphi_1}\begin{vmatrix} -(\lambda_j + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\varphi_j & \phi_j \\ -(\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \end{vmatrix}\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. See appendix II.

It is straightforward to verify that T_1 annihilate its generating function, i.e., $\psi_1^{[1]} = 0$.

2.2 n-fold Darboux transformation for WKI system

The main result in this subsection is the determinant representation of the n-fold DT for WKI system. To this purpose, set [29]

$$\mathbf{D} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a, d \text{ are complex functions of } x \text{ and } t \right\},$$
$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, b, c \text{ are complex functions of } x \text{ and } t \right\}.$$

According to the form of T_1 in eq.(10), the n-fold DT should be the form of

$$T_n = \widetilde{T_n}(\widetilde{\lambda}; \widetilde{\lambda}_1, \widetilde{\lambda}_2, \cdots, \widetilde{\lambda_n}) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} P_l \widetilde{\lambda}^l, \tag{16}$$

with $\widetilde{\lambda} = \lambda + i\dfrac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}, \widetilde{\lambda}_j = \lambda_j + i\dfrac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}$, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$ and

$$P_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 0 \\ 0 & d_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{D}, \; P_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{A}, \; P_l \in \mathbf{D} \, (\text{if } l-n \text{ is even}), \; P_l \in \mathbf{A} \, (\text{if } l-n \text{ is odd}).$$

In order to get diagonal matrix and anti-matrix coefficients in $\widetilde{T_n}$, we introduce $\widetilde{\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_j$ by a shift. Here P_0 is a constant matrix, $P_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ is the function of x and t. In particular, $P_0 \in \mathbf{D}$ if n is even and $P_0 \in \mathbf{A}$ if n is odd, which leads to a separate discussion on the determinant representation of T_n in the following by means of its kernel.

Specifically, from algebraic equations,

$$\psi_k^{[n]} = \widetilde{T_n}(\widetilde{\lambda}; \widetilde{\lambda}_1, \widetilde{\lambda}_2, \cdots, \widetilde{\lambda_n})|_{\lambda=\lambda_k} \psi_k = \sum_{l=0}^{n} P_l \widetilde{\lambda_k}^l \psi_k = 0, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \tag{17}$$

coefficients P_i are solved by Cramer's rule. Thus we get determinant representation of the T_n.

Theorem2. *(1) For $n = 2k (k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$, the n-fold DT of the WKI system can be expressed by*

$$T_n = \widetilde{T_n}(\widetilde{\lambda}; \widetilde{\lambda}_1, \widetilde{\lambda}_2, \cdots, \widetilde{\lambda_n}) = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\widetilde{(T_n)_{11}}}{W_n} & \dfrac{\widetilde{(T_n)_{12}}}{W_n} \\ \\ \dfrac{\widetilde{(T_n)_{21}}}{\widetilde{W_n}} & \dfrac{\widetilde{(T_n)_{22}}}{\widetilde{W_n}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{18}$$

with

$$W_n = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1} \phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2} \varphi_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_1} \phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1} \phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2} \varphi_2 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_2} \phi_2 & \varphi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1} \phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-2} \varphi_{n-1} & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}} \phi_{n-1} & \varphi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1} \phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2} \varphi_n & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_n} \phi_n & \varphi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{(T_n)_{11}}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}^n & 0 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda}^2 & 0 & 1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^n\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^2\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^n\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^n\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1}\varphi_{n-1} & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^2\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}\varphi_{n-1} & \phi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^n\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^2\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{(T_n)_{12}}=\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{\lambda}^{n-1} & \cdots & 0 & \widetilde{\lambda} & 0 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^n\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^2\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^n\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^n\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1}\varphi_{n-1} & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^2\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}\varphi_{n-1} & \phi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^n\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^2\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{W_n}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\phi_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\phi_2 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1}\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-2}\phi_{n-1} & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}\varphi_{n-1} & \phi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\phi_n & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{(T_n)_{21}}=\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{\lambda^{n-1}} & \cdots & 0 & \widetilde{\lambda} & 0 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^n\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\phi_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^2\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^n\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\phi_2 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^n\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1}\phi_{n-1} & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^2\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}\phi_{n-1} & \varphi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^n\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^2\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & \varphi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{(T_n)_{22}}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}^n & 0 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda}^2 & 0 & 1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^n\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\phi_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^2\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^n\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\phi_2 & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^n\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1}\phi_{n-1} & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^2\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}\phi_{n-1} & \varphi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^n\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^2\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & \varphi_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

(2) For $n=2k+1(k=1,2,3,\cdots)$,

$$T_n=\widetilde{T_n}(\widetilde{\lambda};\widetilde{\lambda_1},\widetilde{\lambda_2},\cdots,\widetilde{\lambda_n})=\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\widehat{(T_n)_{11}}}{Q_n} & \dfrac{\widehat{(T_n)_{12}}}{Q_n} \\ \dfrac{\widehat{(T_n)_{21}}}{\widehat{Q_n}} & \dfrac{\widehat{(T_n)_{22}}}{\widehat{Q_n}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

with

$$Q_n = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{2}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{2}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{(T_n)_{11}} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}^{n} & 0 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}^{3} & 0 & \widetilde{\lambda} & 0 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{3}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{2}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & -\varphi_1\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{3}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{2}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & -\varphi_2\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{3}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & -\varphi_n\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{(T_n)_{12}} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{\lambda}^{n-1} & ... & 0 & \widetilde{\lambda}^{2} & 0 & -1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{3}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{2}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & -\varphi_1\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{3}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{2}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & -\varphi_2\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{3}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & -\varphi_n\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{Q_n} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{2}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{2}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & \varphi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{(T_n)_{21}} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{\lambda}^{n-1} & ... & 0 & \widetilde{\lambda}^{2} & 0 & -1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{3}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{2}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & -\phi_1\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{3}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{2}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & -\phi_2\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{3}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & -\phi_n\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{(T_n)_{22}} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}^{n} & 0 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}^{3} & 0 & \widetilde{\lambda} & 0 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{3}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{2}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & -\phi_1\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{3}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{2}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & -\phi_2\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{3}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & -\phi_n\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Next, we consider the transformed new solutions $(q^{[n]}, r^{[n]})$ of WKI system corresponding to the n-fold DT. Under covariant requirement of spectral problem of the WKI system, the transformed form should be

$$\partial_x \psi^{[n]} = (-aJ\lambda^2 + Q_1{}^{[n]}\lambda + Q_0{}^{[n]})\psi = U^{[n]}\psi, \tag{20}$$

with

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_1{}^{[n]} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2b} & aq^{[n]} \\ ar^{[n]} & -\sqrt{2b} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_0{}^{[n]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}q^{[n]} \\ i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}r^{[n]} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

and then

$$T_{nx} + T_n\, U = U^{[n]}\, T_n. \tag{22}$$

Substituting T_n given by eq.(16) into eq.(22), and then comparing the coefficients of λ^{n+1}, it yields

$$q^{[n]} = \frac{a_n}{d_n}q + 2i\frac{b_{n-1}}{d_n}, \quad r^{[n]} = \frac{d_n}{a_n}r - 2i\frac{c_{n-1}}{a_n}. \tag{23}$$

Furthermore, substitute $a_n, d_n, b_{n-1}, c_{n-1}$ from eq.(18) for $n = 2k$ and from eq.(19) for $n = 2k+1$, into (23), we get new solutions $(q^{[n]}, r^{[n]})$ of couple system in eq.(2) and eq.(3):

Theorem 3. *Starting from a seed q, the n-fold DT T_n in theorem 2 generates new solutions*

$$q^{[n]} = \frac{\Omega_{n1}^2}{\Omega_{n3}^2}q - 2i\frac{\Omega_{n1}\Omega_{n2}}{\Omega_{n3}^2}, \quad r^{[n]} = \frac{\Omega_{n3}^2}{\Omega_{n1}^2}r + 2i\frac{\Omega_{n3}\Omega_{n4}}{\Omega_{n1}^2}. \tag{24}$$

Here, (1)for $n = 2k$,

$$\Omega_{n1} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1}\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-2}\phi_{n-1} & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}\varphi_{n-1}} & \phi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

$$\Omega_{n2} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n}\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-2}\phi_{n-1} & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}\varphi_{n-1}} & \phi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{n3} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-1}\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-2}\varphi_{n-1} & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}\phi_{n-1}} & \varphi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & \varphi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{n4}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n}\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{n-2}\varphi_{n-1} & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}\phi_{n-1} & \varphi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & \varphi_n \end{vmatrix};$$

(2) for $n=2k+1$,

$$\Omega_{n1}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-3}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-3}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-3}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & \varphi_n\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{vmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

$$\Omega_{n2}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-3}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-3}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-3}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & \varphi_n\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{n3}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-1}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-3}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-1}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-3}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-3}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{n4}=\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-2}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}^{n-3}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-2}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}^{n-3}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-2}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-3}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & \phi_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

In order to consider the smoothness of the solution of MNLS equation in the next section, it is necessary to get the determinant representation of the transformed eigenfunction $\psi_j^{[n]}$ associated with $q^{[n]}$ and $r^{[n]}$.

Corollary 4 *The determinant representation of transformed eigenfunction* $\psi_j^{[n]}=(T_n|_{\lambda=\lambda_j})\psi_j (j\geq n+1)$ *is expressed by following formulas.*

1. If $n = 2k$, then

$$\psi_j^{[n]} = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n}\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n-1}\varphi_j & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,2}\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j\varphi_j & \phi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n-1}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,2}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n-1}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,2}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{\,n}\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{\,n-1}\varphi_{n-1} & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{\,2}\phi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}\varphi_{n-1}} & \phi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n^n}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n\varphi_n} & \phi_n \end{vmatrix}}{\Omega_{n3}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n}\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n-1}\phi_j & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,2}\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j\phi_j & \varphi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n-1}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,2}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n-1}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,2}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{\,n}\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{\,n-1}\phi_{n-1} & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}}^{\,2}\varphi_{n-1} & \widetilde{\lambda_{n-1}\phi_{n-1}} & \varphi_{n-1} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n\phi_n} & \varphi_n \end{vmatrix}}{\Omega_{n1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.If $n = 2k + 1$,then

$$\psi_j^{[n]} = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n}\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n-1}\varphi_j & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,3}\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,2}\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j\phi_j & -\varphi_j\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n-1}\varphi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,3}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,2}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1\phi_1 & -\varphi_1\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n-1}\varphi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,3}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,2}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2\phi_2 & -\varphi_2\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\varphi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{3}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\phi_n & -\varphi_n\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{vmatrix}}{\Omega_{n3}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n}\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,n-1}\phi_j & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,3}\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j^{\,2}\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda}_j\varphi_j & -\phi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,n-1}\phi_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,3}\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\,2}\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda}_1\varphi_1 & -\phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,n-1}\phi_2 & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,3}\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2^{\,2}\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda}_2\varphi_2 & -\phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{n-1}\phi_n & \dots & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{3}\varphi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}^{2}\phi_n & \widetilde{\lambda_n}\varphi_n & -\phi_n \end{vmatrix}}{\Omega_{n1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.3 Reduction of the Darboux transformation for WKI system.

The solutions $q^{[n]}$ and $r^{[n]}$ in theorem 3 generated by the n-fold DT T_n of WKI system are solutions of the coupled system in eq.(2) and eq.(3). If it keeps the reduction condition,

i.e.,$q^{[n]} = -(r^{[n]})^*$, DT of the WKI system in theorem 2 reduces to the DT of the MNLS equation, and then $q^{[n]}$ in theorem 3 implies automatically a new solution of the MNLS equation. In this subsection, we shall show how to choose the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in the determinant representations of the T_n in order to realize the reduction.

Under the reduction condition $q = -r^*$, the eigenfunction $\psi_k = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_k \\ \varphi_k \end{pmatrix}$ associated with eigenvalue λ_k has following properties,

(i): $\phi_k^* = \varphi_k$, $\lambda_k = -{\lambda_k}^*$;
(ii): ${\phi_k}^* = \varphi_l$, ${\varphi_k}^* = \phi_l$, ${\lambda_k}^* = -\lambda_l$, where $k \neq l$.

It is trivial to verify above properties in Lax pair, eq.(4) and eq.(5), of the WKI system by a straightforward calculation. These properties of eigenfunctions for the WKI system provides one kind of possibility for choosing suitable eigenvalues and eigenfunctions such that the reduction condition $q^{[n]} = -(r^{[n]})^*$ holds in n-fold DT.

Lemma 5 *Let*

$$\lambda_1 = i\beta_1(\beta_1 \in R), \qquad \psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_1^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{27}$$

in T_1, then $q^{[1]} = -(r^{[1]})^*$ and T_1 reduces to a one-fold DT of the MNLS.

Proof According to property (i), it is suitable to let λ_1 and ψ_1 as eq.(27). Under this choice, $\varphi_1^* = \phi_1$ and $\lambda_1^* = -\lambda_1$. Substituting this relation and $q = -r^*$ into eq.(14), then

$$\begin{aligned}(q^{[1]})^* &= (\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1})^2 \exp(2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t))q^* - 2i\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1}(\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}) \exp(2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)),\\ &= -(\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1})^2 \exp(2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t))r - 2i\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1}(\lambda_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}) \exp(2i(\frac{b}{a}x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) = -r^{[1]}.\square\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6 *Let $\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}$ be an eigenfunction of λ_1, and*

$$\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1^*, \quad \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^* \\ \phi_1^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

in T_2 given by eq.(18), then $q^{[2]} = -(r^{[2]})^*$ and T_2 reduces to a one-fold DT of the MNLS.

Proof According to the above property (ii), it is suitable to choose ψ_2 and λ_2 as eq.(28). Let $n = 2$ in eq.(24), then

$$q^{[2]} = \frac{\Omega_{21}^2}{\Omega_{23}^2}q - 2i\frac{\Omega_{21}\Omega_{n2}}{\Omega_{23}^2}, \tag{29}$$

$$r^{[2]} = \frac{\Omega_{23}^2}{\Omega_{21}^2}r + 2i\frac{\Omega_{23}\Omega_{24}}{\Omega_{21}^2}, \tag{30}$$

$$\text{with } \Omega_{21} = \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1\phi_2 - \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_1\varphi_2, \Omega_{22} = (\widetilde{\lambda_1}^2 - \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2)\phi_1\phi_2, \tag{31}$$

$$\Omega_{23} = \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1\varphi_2 - \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_1\phi_2, \Omega_{24} = (\widetilde{\lambda_1}^2 - \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2)\varphi_1\varphi_2. \tag{32}$$

Using the special choice of λ_2 and its eigenfunction in eq.(28), we have $\Omega_{21}^* = \Omega_{23}, \Omega_{22}^* = -\Omega_{24}$ from eq.(31) and eq.(32). These two relations imply $q^{[2]} = -(r^{[2]})^*$ from eq.(29) and eq.(30) with the help of original reduction condition $q = -r^*$. Therefore, under the choice in eq.(28), T_2 reduces to a one-fold DT of the MNLS equation.$\square$

Furthermore, by repeatedly iterating T_2 as Lemma 6 for k times with paired-eigenvalues and corresponding paired eigenfunctions, we have following results of the T_{2k}.

Theorem 7 *Let $\lambda_{2l-1}(l=1,2,3\ldots,k)$ be k distinct eigenvalues for eq.(4) and eq.(5), $\psi_{2l-1}=\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{2l-1}\\ \varphi_{2l-1}\end{pmatrix}$ be their associated eigenfunctions, and*

$$\lambda_{2l}=-\lambda_{2l-1}^*,\psi_{2l}=\begin{pmatrix}\varphi_{2l-1}^*\\ \phi_{2l-1}^*\end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

in the (2k)-fold DT T_{2k} of WKI system, then $q^{[2k]}=-(r^{[2k]})^*$ in eq.(24), and T_{2k} in eq.(18) reduces to a k-fold DT of the MNLS equation.

Thus $q^{[2k]}$ is called k-order solution of the MNLS.

Similar to the reduction of the T_{2k} for the WKI system, T_{2k+1} in eq.(19) can also be reduced to the (k+1)-fold DT of the MNLS by choosing one pure imaginary $\lambda_{2k+1}=i\beta_{2k+1}$ and k paired-eigenvalues $\lambda_{2l}=-\lambda_{2l-1}^*(l=1,2,\cdots,k)$ with corresponding eigenfunctions according to properties (i) and (ii). Of course, there are many other ways to select eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in order to do reduction of n-fold DT for the WKI system.

3. Smoothness of the solutions $q^{[2k]}$

The smoothness of the $q^{[n]}$ generated by DT is an important property of the solution of the MNLS equation. In this section,we shall study this property for the solution $q^{[2k]}$ through the T_{2k} with the paired eigenfunctions and eigenvalues.

3.1 Non-degenerate case

In this subsection, we shall show the smoothness of the new solution $q^{[2k]}(k=1,2,3\cdots)$ of the MNLS equation under non-degenerate case: $\psi_j\neq 0$ and $\lambda_i\neq\lambda_j(i\neq j)$. This solution is generated by T_{2k} from a seed solution q with the paired eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in Theorem 7.

Lemma 8, *Let $\lambda_1=\alpha_1+i\beta_1$,$\alpha_1\in R$ and $\beta_1\in R$, $|\phi_1|+|\varphi_1|>0$ in the $q^{[2]}$ generated by the T_2 of Lemma 6. If $\alpha_1\neq 0$, then $q^{[2]}$ is a smooth function on whole (x,t)-plane.*

Proof From Lemma 6, by a straightforward calculation, we have

$$q^{[2]}=\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1\varphi_1^*+\widetilde{\lambda_1}^*\phi_1\phi_1^*)^2}{(\widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1\phi_1^*+\widetilde{\lambda_1}^*\varphi_1\varphi_1^*)^2}q-2i\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_1}^2-\widetilde{\lambda_1}^{*2})(\widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1\varphi_1^*+\widetilde{\lambda_1}^*\phi_1\phi_1^*)\phi_1\varphi_1^*}{(\widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1\phi_1^*+\widetilde{\lambda_1}^*\varphi_1\varphi_1^*)^2}. \tag{34}$$

Note that in the denominator of $q^{[2]}$, $\Omega_{21}=\widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1\phi_1^*+\widetilde{\lambda_1}^*\varphi_1\varphi_1^*=\alpha_1(|\phi_1|^2+|\varphi_1|^2)+i(\beta_1+\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})(|\phi_1|^2-|\varphi_1|^2)$. $\Omega_{21}\neq 0$ if $\alpha_1\neq 0$ and $|\phi_1|+|\varphi_1|>0$. Therefore, $q^{[2]}$ is a smooth function under this condition. □

Theorem 9 Let $\lambda_{2l-1}=\alpha_{2l-1}+i\beta_{2l-1}(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$ be k distinct eigenvalues in the $q^{[2k]}$ generated by T_{2k} of Theorem 7. If $\alpha_{2l-1}\neq 0(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$ and $|\phi_{2l-1}|+|\varphi_{2l-1}|>0$, then $q^{[2k]}$ is a smooth function on whole (x,t)-plane.

Proof 1) Using T_2, a new eigenfunction associated with λ_3 is $\psi_3^{[2]}=\begin{pmatrix}\phi_3^{[2]}\\ \varphi_3^{[2]}\end{pmatrix}=T_2(\widetilde{\lambda_3};\widetilde{\lambda_1})\psi_3$.

Furthermore $\psi_3^{[2]}\neq 0$ because T_2 is not a degenerate linear transformation and $\psi_3\neq 0$. Thus $|\phi_3^{[2]}|+|\varphi_3^{[2]}|\neq 0$.

2) By iteration of T_2 once with generating function $\psi_3^{[2]}$, a new solution of the MNLS equation is given by

$$q^{[4]}=\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_3}\varphi_3^{[2]}(\varphi_3^{[2]})^*+\widetilde{\lambda_3}^*\phi_3^{[2]}(\phi_3^{[2]})^*)^2}{(\widetilde{\lambda_3}\phi_3^{[2]}(\phi_3^{[2]})^*+\widetilde{\lambda_3}^*\varphi_3^{[2]}(\varphi_3^{[2]})^*)^2}q^{[2]}-2i\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_3}^2-\widetilde{\lambda_3}^{*2})(\widetilde{\lambda_3}\varphi_3^{[2]}(\varphi_3^{[2]})^*+\widetilde{\lambda_3}^*\phi_3^{[2]}(\phi_3^{[2]})^*)\phi_3^{[2]}(\varphi_3^{[2]})^*}{(\widetilde{\lambda_3}\phi_3^{[2]}(\phi_3^{[2]})^*+\widetilde{\lambda_3}^*\varphi_3^{[2]}(\varphi_3^{[2]})^*)^2}. \tag{35}$$

3) By using Lemma 8, $q^{[4]}$ is a smooth function on (x,t) plane.
4) By this pattern, k-time iteration of T_2 with generating functions $\psi_{2l-1}(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$ implies T_{2k} and corresponding new solution $q^{[2k]}$ of the MNLS equation. Note that each step of iterations generates smooth solution according to Lemma 8. Thus $q^{[2k]}$, the final solution generated by k-time iteration, is a smooth function on the (x,t)-plane. □

It is easy to check that the k-soliton solution is generated by T_{2k} in Theorem 9 from a trivial seed solution–zero solution. It is an interesting problem to study degenerate cases and to apply it to get smooth solutions of the MNLS equations.

3.2 Double degeneration case

It is easy to see from the determinant representations that there are two degenerate cases of T_{2k}: 1) degenerate eigenvalues: $\lambda_{2l-1}\longrightarrow\lambda_1(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$; 2) degenerate eigenfunctions: $\psi_{2l-1}(\lambda_{2l-1};x,t)=0$ $(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$ under certain values of parameters. We assume that λ_0 is only one zero point of the eigenfunction ψ_1. In this subsection, we shall apply T_{2k} with double degeneration, i.e., $\lambda_{2l-1}\longrightarrow\lambda_0(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$ and $\psi_{2l-1}(\lambda_0;x,t)=0$ $(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$, to get smooth solution of the MNLS equation. Specifically, under the double degeneration, $q^{[2k]}$ generated by T_{2k} is expressed by an indeterminate form $\frac{0}{0}$. Thus,it is possible to get a smooth solution of the MNLS by higher order Taylor expansion of it at a special value λ_0.

To deal with the degeneration of T_{2k}, we begin with the T_2 under the reduction condition in lemma 6. Let $\psi_1(\lambda_0)$ be a smooth eigenfunction of Lax pair of the MNLS associated with non-zero eigenvalue λ_0, which has also continuous dependence on the λ_0. Under a small shift of eigenvalue, it can be expanded as $\psi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon;x,t)=\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon;x,t)=\phi_1(\lambda_0;x,t)+\sum\limits_{l=1}^{k}b_l\epsilon^l+O(\epsilon^k)\\ \varphi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon;x,t)=\varphi_1(\lambda_0;x,t)+\sum\limits_{l=1}^{k}a_l\epsilon^l+O(\epsilon^k)\end{pmatrix}$,
$b_l=\frac{\partial\phi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)}{\partial\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}, a_l=\frac{\partial\varphi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)}{\partial\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}$, $|a_l|$ and $|b_l|(l=1,2,\cdots,k)$ are not both zero . If λ_0 is the only one zero point of ψ_1,i.e., $\psi_1(\lambda_0)=0$, then it is also a zero point of W_2 in T_2. Thus λ_0 is a singularity of T_2 and the $q^{[2]}$. However this singularity is removable.

Lemma 10 *Let λ_0 be the only one zero point of eigenfunction $\psi_1(\lambda_1)$, $\lambda_0=\alpha_0+i\beta_0$. If $\alpha_0\neq 0$, then $q^{[2]}$ in eq.(34) is a smooth solution, and the singular T_2 infers a smooth two-fold DT T_2'.*

Proof By Taylor expansion, $\phi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)=\phi_1(\lambda_0)+\frac{\partial\phi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)}{\partial\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}\epsilon+O(\epsilon^2)=b_1\epsilon+O(\epsilon^2)$, and $\varphi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)=\varphi_1(\lambda_0)+\frac{\partial\varphi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)}{\partial\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}\epsilon+O(\epsilon^2)=a_1\epsilon+O(\epsilon^2)$.
1) Submitting these expansion and $\lambda_1=\lambda_0+\epsilon$ into $q^{[2]}$ in eq.(34), then

$$q^{[2]}=\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_1|^2+\widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_1|^2)^2\epsilon^4+O(\epsilon^5)}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_1|^2+\widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_1|^2)^2\epsilon^4+O(\epsilon^5)}q-2i\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2-\widetilde{\lambda_0}^{*2})(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_1|^2+\widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_1|^2)b_1a_1^*\epsilon^4+O(\epsilon^5)}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_1|^2+\widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_1|^2)^2\epsilon^4+O(\epsilon^5)}. \tag{36}$$

This formula provides a smooth solution

$$q^{[2]} = \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_1|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_1|^2)^2}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_1|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_1|^2)^2} q - 2i \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 - \widetilde{\lambda_0}^{*2})(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_1|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_1|^2) b_1 a_1^*}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_1|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_1|^2)^2} \tag{37}$$

by setting $\epsilon \to 0$ if $|a_1| + |b_1| \neq 0$ and $\alpha_0 \neq 0$.
2) According to

$$\psi_j^{[2]} = T_2(\lambda_j; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)\psi_j = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_j}^2\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda_j}\varphi_j & \phi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^2\phi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2\phi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \end{vmatrix}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_j}^2\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda_j}\phi_j & \varphi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda_1}^2\varphi_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_1}\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}^2\varphi_2 & \widetilde{\lambda_2}\phi_2 & \varphi_2 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_1}\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \widetilde{\lambda_2}\varphi_2 & \phi_2 \end{vmatrix}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{38}$$

T_2 is singular if $\phi_1(\lambda_0) = \varphi_1(\lambda_0) = 0$. Taking above Taylor expansions of $\phi_1(\lambda_0 + \epsilon)$ and $\varphi_1(\lambda_0 + \epsilon)$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \epsilon$ into $\psi_j^{[2]}$ under the reduction conditions given by eq.(28), then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j^{[2]} &= \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_j}^2\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda_j}\varphi_j & \phi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 b_1\epsilon & \widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1\epsilon & b_1\epsilon \\ (\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 a_1)^*\epsilon & -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1)^*\epsilon & a_1^*\epsilon \end{vmatrix} + O(\epsilon^3)}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1\epsilon & a_1\epsilon \\ -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1)^*\epsilon & b_1^*\epsilon \end{vmatrix} + O(\epsilon^3)} \\ \\ \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_j}^2\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda_j}\phi_j & \varphi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 a_1\epsilon & \widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1\epsilon & a_1\epsilon \\ (\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 b_1)^*\epsilon & -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1)^*\epsilon & b_1^*\epsilon \end{vmatrix} + O(\epsilon^3)}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1\epsilon & b_1\epsilon \\ -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1)^*\epsilon & a_1^*\epsilon \end{vmatrix} + O(\epsilon^3)} \end{pmatrix} \overset{\epsilon\to 0}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_j}^2\phi_j & \widetilde{\lambda_j}\varphi_j & \phi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 b_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1 & b_1 \\ (\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 a_1)^* & -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1)^* & a_1^* \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1 & a_1 \\ -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1)^* & b_1^* \end{vmatrix}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_j}^2\varphi_j & \widetilde{\lambda_j}\phi_j & \varphi_j \\ \widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 a_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1 & a_1 \\ (\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 b_1)^* & -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1)^* & b_1^* \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_0}a_1 & b_1 \\ -(\widetilde{\lambda_0}b_1)^* & a_1^* \end{vmatrix}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\triangleq T_2'(\lambda_j; \lambda_0)\psi_j. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Note that the denominator in above formula is a non-zero function of (x,t). This shows $\psi_j^{[2]}$ and T_2' are smooth. □

Remark If $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ in $\psi_1(\lambda_0 + \epsilon)$, we can use next non-zero coefficient of higher order in its expansion to generate smooth $q^{[2]}$ and T_2' as the same manner in the above Lemma.

Because T_2 just includes one eigenvalue λ_1, it does not have double degeneration. The first non-trivial example of double degeneration of DT is T_4 by setting $\lambda_3 \to \lambda_0$ and $\psi_3 = \psi_1(\lambda_0) =$

$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(\lambda_0) \\ \varphi_1(\lambda_0) \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Thus it is easy to find $\psi_3^{[2]} = 0$ from eq.(39),and we can not do DT again along the eigenvalue λ_0. But it can be re-obtained by following limit method.

Lemma 11 *According to lemma 10,*

$$\psi_3^{[2]}|_{\lambda_3=\lambda_0+\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} b_2^{[2]}\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \\ a_2^{[2]}\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{40}$$

$|b_2^{[2]}|$ and $|a_2^{[2]}|$ are not both zero. Further, $q^{[4]}$ in eq.(35) provides a smooth solution of the MNLS equation.

Proof. Let $\lambda_3 = \lambda_0 + \epsilon$ and $\psi_3 = \psi_1(\lambda_0 + \epsilon)$ in eq.(39), then $\psi_3^{[2]}|_{\lambda_3=\lambda_0+\epsilon}$ is a non-zero smooth function because $T_2'(\lambda_0 + \epsilon; \lambda_0)$ is a non- degenerate linear transformation. Thus $\psi_3^{[2]}|_{\lambda_3=\lambda_0+\epsilon}$ can be expanded as following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_3^{[2]} = & \left(\begin{array}{c} \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\epsilon^2}((\widetilde{\lambda_0}+\epsilon)^2\phi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon))|_{\epsilon=0} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\epsilon^2}((\widetilde{\lambda_0}+\epsilon)\varphi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon))|_{\epsilon=0} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\epsilon^2}\phi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 b_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_0} a_1 & b_1 \\ (\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 a_1)^* & -(\widetilde{\lambda_0} b_1)^* & a_1^* \end{vmatrix} \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3)}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_0} b_1 & a_1 \\ -(\widetilde{\lambda_0} a_1)^* & b_1^* \end{vmatrix}} \\ \\ \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\epsilon^2}((\widetilde{\lambda_0}+\epsilon)^2\varphi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon))|_{\epsilon=0} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\epsilon^2}((\widetilde{\lambda_0}+\epsilon)\phi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon))|_{\epsilon=0} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\epsilon^2}\varphi_1(\lambda_0+\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} \\ \widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 a_1 & \widetilde{\lambda_0} b_1 & a_1 \\ (\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 b_1)^* & -(\widetilde{\lambda_0} a_1)^* & b_1^* \end{vmatrix} \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3)}{\begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda_0} a_1 & b_1 \\ -(\widetilde{\lambda_0} b_1)^* & a_1^* \end{vmatrix}} \end{array} \right) \\
= & \begin{pmatrix} b_2^{[2]}\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \\ a_2^{[2]}\epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Here $b_2^{[2]}$ and $a_2^{[2]}$ are smooth and are not both zero function. In the expansion of $\psi_3^{[2]}|_{\lambda_3=\lambda_0+\epsilon}$, there exist as least one term $|b_l^{[2]}| + |a_l^{[2]}| \neq 0 (l \geqslant 2)$. If all coefficients of expansion are zero, $\psi_3^{[2]}|_{\lambda_3=\lambda_0+\epsilon} = 0$. Thus it does not lost any generality by setting that $|b_2^{[2]}|$ and $|a_2^{[2]}|$ are not both zero. Furthermore, take this expansion back into eq.(35) with $\lambda_3 = \lambda_0 + \epsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{[4]} = & \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_2^{[2]}|^2)^2\epsilon^8 + O(\epsilon^9)}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_2^{[2]}|^2)^2\epsilon^8 + O(\epsilon^9)} q^{[2]} - 2i \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 - \widetilde{\lambda_0}^{*2})(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_2^{[2]}|^2) b_2^{[2]} (a_2^{[2]})^* \epsilon^8 + O(\epsilon^9)}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_2^{[2]}|^2)^2\epsilon^8 + O(\epsilon^9)} \\
\overset{\epsilon\to 0}{=} & \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_2^{[2]}|^2)^2}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_2^{[2]}|^2)^2} q^{[2]} - 2i \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}^2 - \widetilde{\lambda_0}^{*2})(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|a_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|b_2^{[2]}|^2) b_2^{[2]} (a_2^{[2]})^*}{(\widetilde{\lambda_0}|b_2^{[2]}|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda_0}^*|a_2^{[2]}|^2)^2} \triangleq q_{smooth}^{[4]},
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

which is a smooth solution because $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, $|b_2^{[2]}|$ and $|a_2^{[2]}|$ are not both zero. Here $q^{[2]}$ is given by eq.(37). $\square$

It is trivial to find that $q^{[4]}$ in eq.(42) is generated from $q^{[2]}$ by iteration of T_2' with new generating function $(a_2^{[2]}, b_2^{[2]})$. In other words, $q^{[4]}$ is obtained from $q^{[2]}$ through a non-degenerate $T_2'(\lambda_0; a_2^{[2]}, b_2^{[2]})$. Thus there exist a non-degenerate four-fold DT $T_4' = T_2'(\lambda_0; a_2^{[2]}, b_2^{[2]}) T_2'(\lambda_0; a_1, b_1)$, which gives this smooth $q^{[4]}$ from seed q. Therefore we overcome the problem of double degeneration in T_4 when $\lambda_3 = \lambda_1$ and $\psi_3(\lambda_0) = \psi_1(\lambda_0) = 0$. Moreover, let $\lambda_3 \mapsto \lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \epsilon$ and $\psi_3(\lambda_0) = \psi_1(\lambda_0) = 0$ in $\psi_j^{[4]}$ in eq.(27), perform Taylor expansion at the rows associated with λ_1 and λ_3, we have

$$\psi_j^{[4]}|_{\lambda_j=\lambda_0+\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} h^3_{41} & h^3_{32} & h^3_{21} & h^3_{12} & h^3_{01} \\ h^1_{41} & h^1_{32} & h^1_{21} & h^1_{12} & h^1_{01} \\ {h^1_{42}}^* & -{h^1_{31}}^* & {h^1_{22}}^* & -{h^1_{11}}^* & {h^1_{02}}^* \\ h^2_{41} & h^2_{32} & h^2_{21} & h^2_{12} & h^2_{01} \\ {h^2_{42}}^* & -{h^2_{31}}^* & {h^2_{22}}^* & -{h^2_{11}}^* & {h^2_{02}}^* \end{vmatrix} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)}{\begin{vmatrix} h^1_{31} & h^1_{22} & h^1_{11} & h^1_{02} \\ -{h^1_{32}}^* & {h^1_{21}}^* & -{h^1_{12}}^* & {h^1_{01}}^* \\ h^2_{31} & h^2_{22} & h^2_{11} & h^2_{02} \\ -{h^2_{32}}^* & {h^2_{21}}^* & -{h^2_{12}}^* & {h^2_{01}}^* \end{vmatrix}} \\ \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} h^3_{42} & h^3_{31} & h^3_{22} & h^3_{11} & h^3_{02} \\ h^1_{42} & h^1_{31} & h^1_{22} & h^1_{11} & h^1_{02} \\ {h^1_{41}}^* & -{h^1_{32}}^* & {h^1_{21}}^* & -{h^1_{12}}^* & {h^1_{01}}^* \\ h^2_{42} & h^2_{31} & h^2_{22} & h^2_{11} & h^2_{02} \\ {h^2_{41}}^* & -{h^2_{32}}^* & {h^2_{21}}^* & -{h^2_{12}}^* & {h^2_{01}}^* \end{vmatrix} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)}{\begin{vmatrix} h^1_{32} & h^1_{21} & h^1_{12} & h^1_{01} \\ -{h^1_{31}}^* & {h^1_{22}}^* & -{h^1_{11}}^* & {h^1_{02}}^* \\ h^2_{32} & h^2_{21} & h^2_{12} & h^2_{01} \\ -{h^2_{31}}^* & {h^2_{22}}^* & -{h^2_{11}}^* & {h^2_{02}}^* \end{vmatrix}} \end{pmatrix} \triangleq (T_4'(\lambda_j; \lambda_0)\psi_j)|_{\lambda_j=\lambda_0+\epsilon}, \quad (43)$$

which provides a determinant representation of T_4'. In the above process, reduction conditions in eq.(33) is used to calculate the elements of the rows associated with λ_2 and λ_4. Here

$$h^l_{m1} = \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \epsilon^l}((\widetilde{\lambda_0} + \epsilon)^m \phi_1(\lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \epsilon))|_{\epsilon=0}, h^l_{m2} = \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \epsilon^l}((\widetilde{\lambda_0} + \epsilon)^m \varphi_1(\lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \epsilon))|_{\epsilon=0} \quad (44)$$

with $m = 0, 1, \cdots, 4,\ l = 1, 2$. Similarly, let $\lambda_3 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \epsilon$ in eq.(24), then Taylor expansion with respect to ϵ gives a representation of $q^{[4]}_{smooth}$ in eq.(42) as follows.

$$q^{[4]}_{smooth} = \frac{\Omega_{41}^2}{\Omega_{43}^2} q - 2i \frac{\Omega_{41}\Omega_{42}}{\Omega_{43}^2}, \quad (45)$$

and

$$\Omega_{41} = \begin{vmatrix} {h^1}_{32} & {h^1}_{21} & {h^1}_{12} & {h^1}_{01} \\ -{h^1_{31}}^* & {h^1_{22}}^* & -{h^1_{11}}^* & {h^1_{02}}^* \\ {h^2}_{32} & {h^2}_{21} & {h^2}_{12} & {h^2}_{01} \\ -{h^2_{31}}^* & {h^2_{22}}^* & -{h^2_{11}}^* & {h^2_{02}}^* \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{42} = \begin{vmatrix} {h^1}_{41} & {h^1}_{21} & {h^1}_{12} & {h^1}_{01} \\ {h^1_{42}}^* & {h^1_{22}}^* & -{h^1_{11}}^* & {h^1_{02}}^* \\ {h^2}_{41} & {h^2}_{21} & {h^2}_{12} & {h^2}_{01} \\ {h^2_{42}}^* & {h^2_{22}}^* & -{h^2_{11}}^* & {h^2_{02}}^* \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{43}=\begin{vmatrix} h^1{}_{31} & h^1{}_{22} & h^1{}_{11} & h^1{}_{02} \\ -h^{1\,*}_{32} & h^{1\,*}_{21} & -h^{1\,*}_{12} & h^{1\,*}_{01} \\ h^2{}_{31} & h^2{}_{22} & h^2{}_{11} & h^2{}_{02} \\ -h^{2\,*}_{32} & h^{2\,*}_{21} & -h^{2\,*}_{12} & h^{2\,*}_{01} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that the smoothness of this solution is analyzed in eq.(42) according to the iteration of non-degenerate T_2'.

On the one side, by (k-1) times iteration of T_2' with a fixed eigenvalue λ_0 but different eigenfunctions, a non-degenerate (2k-2) fold DT T_{2k-2}' is obtained because each step of iteration is non-degenerate. On the other hand, setting double degeneration, i.e.,$\lambda_i=\lambda_0$ in $\psi_{2k-1}^{[2k-2]}$ and $\psi_i(\lambda_0)=0(i=1,3,5,\cdots,2k-3)$ in eq.(27), and using reduction conditions eq.(33), then this T_{2k-2}' also can be presented after performing Taylor expansion with respect to ϵ. The smoothness of $q^{[2k-2]}$ is provided by the smoothness of each step of iteration as we shown in eq.(42). By the determinant representation of $\psi_j^{[2k-2]}(j\geq 2k-1)$ with double degeneration and reduction condition, we have following Lemma.

Lemma 12 *The non-degenerate T_{2k-2}' generates a smooth eigenfunction of $\lambda_{2k-1}=\lambda_0+\epsilon$ from $\psi_{2k-1}(\lambda_0+\epsilon)$ as*

$$\psi_{2k-1}^{[2k-2]}|_{\lambda_{2k-1}=\lambda_0+\epsilon}=\begin{pmatrix} b_k^{[2k-2]}\epsilon^k+O(\epsilon^{k+1}) \\ a_k^{[2k-2]}\epsilon^k+O(\epsilon^{k+1}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{46}$$

Here $|b_k^{[2k-2]}|$ and $|a_k^{[2k-2]}|$ are smooth and not both zero.

Lemma 13 *Set $\psi_{2k-1}^{[2k-2]}|_{\lambda_{2k-1}=\lambda_0+\epsilon}$ be generating function of a two-fold DT $\hat{T}_2=T_2(\lambda_0+\epsilon;\psi_{2k-1}^{[2k-2]})$ with the help of the reduction conditions, then $q^{[2k]}$, generated from $q^{[2k-2]}$, is a smooth rational k-order solution of the MNLS equation.*

Proof Replace eigenvalue λ_1, eigenfunction ψ_1 and seed solution q by $\lambda_0+\epsilon$, $\psi_{2k-1}^{[2k-2]}|_{\lambda_{2k-1}=\lambda_0+\epsilon}$ and $q^{[2k-2]}$ in the formula of two-fold DT in eq.(34), respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} q^{[2k]}=&\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)^2\epsilon^{4k}+O(\epsilon^{4k+1})}{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)^2\epsilon^{4k}+O(\epsilon^{4k+1})}q^{[2k-2]} \\ &-\ 2i\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,2}-\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*2})(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)b_k^{[2k-2]}(a_k^{[2k-2]})^*\epsilon^{4k}+O(\epsilon^{4k+1})}{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)^2\epsilon^{4k}+O(\epsilon^{4k+1})} \\ \overset{\epsilon\to 0}{=}&\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)^2}{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)^2}q^{[2k-2]} \\ &-\ 2i\frac{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,2}-\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*2})(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)b_k^{[2k-2]}(a_k^{[2k-2]})^*}{(\widetilde{\lambda}_0|b_k^{[2k-2]}|^2+\widetilde{\lambda}_0^{\,*}|a_k^{[2k-2]}|^2)^2}\triangleq q_{smooth}^{[2k]}, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

which is a smooth solution because $\alpha_0\neq 0$, $|b_k^{[2k-2]}|$ and $|a_k^{[2k-2]}|$ are not both zero. □

Under double degeneration mentioned above, T_{2k} is degenerate. Thus solution in eq.(24) is singular at λ_0. This lemma shows that its singularity is removable. We shall give a explicit representation of $q_{smooth}^{[2k]}$ by Taylor expansion as the same manner of $q_{smooth}^{[4]}$. In other words, T_{2k} gives a non-degenerate 2k-fold DT T_{2k}' by Taylor expansion, and $q_{smooth}^{[2k]}$ is generated from q by this non-degenerate DT.

Theorem 14. *Let ψ_1 be an smooth eigenfunction of Lax pair of the MNLS, has also continuous*

dependence on the λ_0. Here λ_0 is only one zero point of ψ_1. Setting $\lambda_{2l-1} \to \lambda_1 = \lambda_0 (l = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, k)$ in $q^{[2k]}$ of eq.(24), then higher order Taylor expansion in it with respect to ϵ leads to a smooth k-order solution $q^{[2k]}_{smooth}$ of the MNLS possessing following formula.

$$q^{[2k]}_{smooth} = \frac{\Omega^2_{k1}}{\Omega^2_{k3}} q - 2i \frac{\Omega_{k1}\Omega_{k2}}{\Omega^2_{k3}}. \tag{48}$$

Here

$$\Omega_{k1} = \begin{vmatrix} h^1{}_{2k-12} & h^1{}_{2k-21} & h^1{}_{2k-32} & h^1{}_{2k-41} & \cdots & h^1{}_{12} & h^1{}_{01} \\ -h^{1\,*}_{2k-11} & h^{1\,*}_{2k-22} & -h^{1\,*}_{2k-31} & h^{1\,*}_{2k-42} & \cdots & -h^{1\,*}_{11} & h^{1\,*}_{02} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ h^k{}_{2k-12} & h^k{}_{2k-21} & h^k{}_{2k-32} & h^k{}_{2k-41} & \cdots & h^k{}_{12} & h^k{}_{01} \\ -h^{k\,*}_{2k-11} & h^{k\,*}_{2k-22} & -h^{k\,*}_{2k-31} & h^{k\,*}_{2k-42} & \cdots & -h^{k\,*}_{11} & h^{k\,*}_{02} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{k2} = \begin{vmatrix} h^1{}_{2k1} & h^1{}_{2k-21} & h^1{}_{2k-32} & h^1{}_{2k-41} & \cdots & h^1{}_{12} & h^1{}_{01} \\ h^{1\,*}_{2k2} & h^{1\,*}_{2k-22} & -h^{1\,*}_{2k-31} & h^{1\,*}_{2k-42} & \cdots & -h^{1\,*}_{11} & h^{1\,*}_{02} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ h^k{}_{2k1} & h^k{}_{2k-21} & h^k{}_{2k-32} & h^k{}_{2k-41} & \cdots & h^k{}_{12} & h^k{}_{01} \\ h^{k\,*}_{2k2} & h^{k\,*}_{2k-22} & -h^{k\,*}_{2k-31} & h^{k\,*}_{2k-42} & \cdots & -h^{k\,*}_{11} & h^{k\,*}_{02} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{k3} = \begin{vmatrix} h^1{}_{2k-11} & h^1{}_{2k-22} & h^1{}_{2k-31} & h^1{}_{2k-42} & \cdots & h^1{}_{11} & h^1{}_{02} \\ -h^{1\,*}_{2k-12} & h^{1\,*}_{2k-21} & -h^{1\,*}_{2k-32} & h^{1\,*}_{2k-41} & \cdots & -h^{1\,*}_{12} & h^{1\,*}_{01} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ h^k{}_{2k-11} & h^k{}_{2k-22} & h^k{}_{2k-31} & h^k{}_{2k-42} & \cdots & h^k{}_{11} & h^k{}_{02} \\ -h^{k\,*}_{2k-12} & h^{k\,*}_{2k-21} & -h^{k\,*}_{2k-32} & h^{k\,*}_{2k-41} & \cdots & -h^{k\,*}_{12} & h^{k\,*}_{01} \end{vmatrix},$$

h^l_{m1} and h^l_{m2} are defined by eq.(44), $m = 0, 1, \cdots, 2k,\ l = 1, 2, \cdots k$.
Note again as theorem 7 that k-order just denotes the k-fold of DT for the MNLS,which is not related to the smoothness of the solution.

4. Rational solutions generated by 2k-fold degenerate Darboux transformation

According to theorem 14, it is a crucial step to find a suitable zero point λ_0 of eigenfunction such that h^l_{m1} and h^l_{m2} are both polynomials in x and t, which will be given from an explicit formula of ψ_1 in this section. We shall find explicit forms of the eigenfunction ψ_j associated with a periodic seed solution, and then present smooth rational k-order solutions according to theorem 14. Next, the explicit representations of the first order and the second order rational solutions of the MNLS equation are constructed. The explicit forms of the first four order rogue waves are also provided. Furthermore, localization of the first order rational solution is analyzed and several new patterns of the higher order rogue waves are presented. We shall show an unusual result: for a given value of a, the increasing value of b can damage gradually the localization of the rational solution.

Let a_1 and c_1 be two complex constants, then $q = c_1 \exp\left(i(a_1 x + (-b{c_1}^2 - {a_1}^2 - a a_1 {c_1}^2)t)\right)$ is a periodic solution of the MNLS equation, which will be used as a seed solution of the DT. Substituting $q = c_1 \exp\left(i(a_1 x + (-b{c_1}^2 - {a_1}^2 - a a_1 {c_1}^2)t)\right)$ into the spectral problem eq.(4) and eq.(5), and using the method of separation of variables and the superposition principle, the eigenfunction ψ_{2k-1} associated with λ_{2k-1} is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2k-1}(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1}) \\ \varphi_{2k-1}(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1\varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[1] + C_2\varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[1] + C_3\varpi^*_1(x,t,-\lambda^*_{2k-1})[2] + C_4\varpi^*_2(x,t,-\lambda^*_{2k-1})[2] \\ C_1\varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[2] + C_2\varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[2] + C_3\varpi^*_1(x,t,-\lambda^*_{2k-1})[1] + C_4\varpi^*_2(x,t,-\lambda^*_{2k-1})[1] \end{pmatrix}. \tag{49}$$

Here

$$\begin{pmatrix}\varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[1]\\ \varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[2]\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\exp(-\sqrt{S(\lambda_{2k-1})}P(\lambda_{2k-1})+\frac{1}{2}i\theta)\\ -\frac{a_1+2a{\lambda_{2k-1}}^2+2i\sqrt{2b}\lambda_{2k-1}-\sqrt{S(\lambda_{2k-1})}}{(-\sqrt{2b}+2ia\lambda_{2k-1})c_1}\exp(-\sqrt{S(\lambda_{2k-1})}P(\lambda_{2k-1})-\frac{1}{2}i\theta)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}\varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[1]\\ \varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[2]\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\exp(\sqrt{S(\lambda_{2k-1})}P(\lambda_{2k-1})+\frac{1}{2}i\theta)\\ -\frac{a_1+2a{\lambda_{2k-1}}^2+2i\sqrt{2b}\lambda_{2k-1}+\sqrt{S(\lambda_{2k-1})}}{(-\sqrt{2b}+2ia\lambda_{2k-1})c_1}\exp(\sqrt{S(\lambda_{2k-1})}P(\lambda_{2k-1})-\frac{1}{2}i\theta)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})=\begin{pmatrix}\varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[1]\\ \varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[2]\end{pmatrix},\ \varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})=\begin{pmatrix}\varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[1]\\ \varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})[2]\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(\lambda_{2k-1})=4a^2{\lambda_{2k-1}}^4+8ia\sqrt{2b}{\lambda_{2k-1}}^3+(4a^2c_1^2+4aa_1-8b){\lambda_{2k-1}}^2\\
&+(4i\sqrt{2b}a_1+4ia\sqrt{2b}{c_1}^2)\lambda_{2k-1}+{a_1}^2-2b{c_1}^2,\\
&P(\lambda_{2k-1})=((4\lambda_{2k-1}b-6i\sqrt{2b}a{\lambda_{2k-1}}^2+{c_1}^2\sqrt{2b}ai-4a^2{\lambda_{2k-1}}^3+\sqrt{2b}a_1i+2a_1a\lambda_{2k-1}\\
&+2{c_1}^2a^2\lambda_{2k-1})t+(-2a\lambda_{2k-1}-\sqrt{2b}i)x)\frac{1}{2(2ia\lambda_{2k-1}-\sqrt{2b})},\ (k=1,2,\ldots,n),\\
&\theta=a_1x+(-b{c_1}^2-{a_1}^2-aa_1{c_1}^2)t,
\end{aligned}$$

and $a,b,a_1,c_1,x,t\in\mathbb{R}$, $C_1,C_2,C_3,C_4\in\mathbb{C}$. Note that $\varpi_1(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})$ and $\varpi_2(x,t,\lambda_{2k-1})$ are two linear independent solutions of the spectral problem eq.(4) and eq.(5). We can only get the trivial solutions through DT of the MNLS equation by setting eigenfunction ψ_{2k-1} be one of them. This is the reason of setting ψ_{2k-1} as the linear superposition in eq.(49).

In order to make higher order rational solution of the MNLS, a crucial step is to find the zero point of S and the eigenfunctions ψ_l such that exponential functions vanish and the indeterminate form $\frac{0}{0}$ appear in the $q^{[2k]}$. By tedious calculation, we have following lemma concerning of this fact.

Lemma 15 *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1&=-(K_0+2)+\exp(\frac{1}{2}iS(\lambda_{2k-1})\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}S_j(\lambda_{2k-1}-\lambda_0)^j),\\
C_2&=-(K_0+2)+\exp(-\frac{1}{2}iS(\lambda_{2k-1})\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}S_j(\lambda_{2k-1}-\lambda_0)^j),\\
C_3&=K_0+\exp(\frac{1}{2}iS(\lambda_{2k-1})\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}L_j(\lambda_{2k-1}-\lambda_0)^j),\\
C_4&=K_0+\exp(-\frac{1}{2}iS(\lambda_{2k-1})\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}L_j(\lambda_{2k-1}-\lambda_0)^j),
\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

then $\lambda_{2k-1}=\lambda_0=-i\dfrac{\sqrt{2b}-\sqrt{2aa_1+a^2{c_1}^2+2b}+ac_1}{2a}$ *is only one zero point of* S *and eigenfunction* ψ_{2k-1} *in eq.(49). Here* $K_0, S_j, L_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 16 *For the eigenfunction* ψ_1 *defined by eq.(49) and eq.(50),* h^l_{m1} *and* h^l_{m2} *are both polynomials in variables* x *and* t. *Furthermore,* $q^{[2k]}_{smooth}$ *generates smooth rational k-order solutions of the MNLS equation.*

Proof. Take the eigenfunction $\psi_{2l-1}(l=1,2,3,\cdots,k)$ defined by eq.(49) and eq.(50) into eq.(24), $q^{[2k]}$ provides a solution expressed by an indeterminate form $\dfrac{0}{0}$ of the MNLS equation when $\lambda_{2k-1}\to -i\dfrac{\sqrt{2b}-\sqrt{2aa_1+a^2{c_1}^2+2b}+ac_1}{2a}$. Obviously, this is a case of double degeneration,and then we can apply Theorem 14 here. Because λ_0 is a zero point of S and ψ_1 in eq.(49), exp(S) will disappear when $\lambda_1=\lambda_0$, h^l_{m1} and h^l_{m2} are both polynomials in x and t. Therefore, take the eigenfunction ψ_1 defined by eq.(49) and eq.(50) into theorem 14, $q^{[2k]}_{smooth}$ are smooth rational solutions of the MNLS. □

Note again as theorem 7 that k-order just denotes the k-fold of DT for the MNLS,which is not related to the smoothness of the solution or the order of polynomials.

4.1 Asymptotic behavior of rational 1-order solution

For simplicity, set $k=1, a_1=-1, c_1=1, K_0=1, S_0=L_0=0$ in theorem 16, the rational 1-order solution $q^{[2]}_{smooth}$ is given by the form as follows.

$$q^{[1]}_{rational}=-\exp((-x-tb-t+ta)i)\frac{H_1H_2}{H_1^{*2}}, \tag{51}$$

with $\quad H_1=2(a-b)((-2b+3a^2-6a+4)t^2-4(-1+a)xt+x^2)+1-2ia(x-(3a-2)t),$

$\quad\quad H_2=2(a-b)((-2b+3a^2-6a+4)t^2-4(-1+a)xt+x^2)-3-2i(ax-(-6a+3a^2+4b)t).$

By letting $x\to\infty$, $t\to\infty$, $|q^{[1]}_{rw}|^2\to 1$. Moreover, $q^{[1]}_{rational}$ is not a traveling wave except $a=b$. Further more, set imaginary part of H_1 be zero, i.e. $x=(3a-2)t$, but its real part $4t^2(a-b)^2+1>0$,so this solution is smooth on whole plane of x and t.

In order to show the novel properties, we shall discuss the asymptotic behaviors of the rational 1-order solution.

Case a). Let $a<b$, there is only one saddle point of the profile for the $q^{[1]}_{rational}$ at point $(0,0)$. It is a nonlocal solution with two peaks.

Case b). Let $a=b$, the maximum amplitude of $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ is equal to 9 and the trajectory is defined by $x=(-2+3b)t$. It is a line soliton with only one peak. Of course it is nonlocal.

Case c). Let $a>b\geq a-\dfrac{3}{8}a^2$, there is only one maximum at $(0,0)$ in the profile of $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$, which is 9. This solution has one peak and one vale, and then nonlocal.

Case d). Let $b<a-\dfrac{3}{8}a^2$, there are one maximum at (0,0) and and two minima at ($x=\mp\dfrac{-6a+3a^2+4b}{a-b}\sqrt{\dfrac{3}{32a-12a^2-32b}}, t=\pm\dfrac{a}{a-b}\sqrt{\dfrac{3}{32a-12a^2-32b}}$) in the profile of the $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$. The maximum is equal to 9 and the minimum is 0. This solution has one dominant peak and two hollows. It is localized in both x and t directions, and then is called the first order rogue wave solution. The dynamical evolution of the $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ is in accord with the classical "Peregrine soliton" in the NLS equation [12] and DNLS equation [31, 32].

This discussion implies an unusual result: for a given value of a, the increasing value of b can damage gradually the localization of the rational solution. This is in contrast to the usual conjecture that that the localization of this solution will be enhanced because of the appearance of the two nonlinear effects represented by a and b, according to a common understanding of the role for the nonlinear effects in wave propagation.

For a given value $a = 1$, the profiles of $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ in case d),case c) and case a) are given in Figure 1 with $b = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, 3$, respectively. This figure shows visually the lost of the localization of the solution due to the increasing value of b. We omit the picture of case b) because it is a standard soliton. The density plots of the solutions of case d), i.e.,rogue wave solutions, of the MNLS equation are given in figure 2 with $b = 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{15}$. It is clear to see the diffusion of the peak and hollows of the first order rogue wave when the value of b is increasing in Figure 2. The explicit form of the rogue wave in Figure 2(b) is

$$q^{[1]}_{rw} = \frac{\exp^{(-i\frac{3\,x+t}{3})}\,(9 - 18\,i\,x + 4\,t^2 + 18\,i\,t + 12\,x^2)\,(27 - 4\,t^2 + 18\,i\,x - 12\,x^2 + 30\,i\,t)}{(-9 - 18\,i\,x - 4\,t^2 + 18\,i\,t - 12\,x^2)^2}, \quad (52)$$

which is obtained from $q^{[1]}_{rational}$ by setting $a = 1$ and $b = 1/3$. The orders of polynomial in numerator and denominator of $q^{[1]}_{rw}$ are both 4. Figure 3(a) is plotted for the contour line at height 5 of the rogue wave $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ with different values of b in Figure 2. Note that height 5 is half value of the peak over the asymptotic plane. Let $a = 1$, then $d = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3((4b-3)^2+1)}{(b-1)^2(5-8b)}}$ is the distance from the minimum point of the $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ to the coordinate origin, which is plotted in Figure 3(b). Figure 3 shows the remarkable decrease in localization of the first order rogue wave. In particular, the distance d goes to infinity when $b \to \frac{5}{8}$ in Figure 3(b), then $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ loses completely the localization in x and t. This fact is consistent with the limit value of b in case d).

To see the novelty of the two-peak solution for case a), we would like to present its explicit form as

$$|q^{[1]}_{twopeak}|^2 = \frac{8 + 96t^2 + 32x^2 - 32t(2x - 2t)}{(20t^2 + 1 - 4x^2)^2 + (2x - 2t)^2} + 1, \quad (53)$$

which is obtained by setting $a = 1$ from eq.(51) and is plotted in Figure 1(c). The approximate trajectory of two peaks in the profile of $|q^{[1]}_{twopeak}|^2$ are two curves defined by $20t^2 + 1 - 4x^2 = 0$ if $x > \frac{1}{2}$. It is interesting to note that the height of two peaks is gradually increasing (decreasing). The maximum height of peak along the trajectory is $21 + 4\sqrt{5}$ and the minimum height is $21 - 4\sqrt{5}$. This two-peak solution with a variable height and a non-vanishing boundary of soliton equation has never been discovered, to the best of our knowledge. Besides, two peak soliton in present paper can not be a usual double-soliton because the rational soliton just has one eigenvalue λ_0. However a double-soliton has two eigenvalues in general.

4.2 Analytical forms and localization of the higher order rogue wave solutions

Let $k = 2, a_1 = -1, c_1 = 1, K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = S_1 = L_1 = 0$ in theorem 16, the rational 2-order solution $q^{[4]}_{smooth}$ becomes

$$q^{[2]}_{rational} = \exp\left(i(-x - bt - t + at)\right)\frac{(iI_1 + R_1)(iI_1 + R_1 + iI_2 + R_2)}{(-R_1 + iI_1)^2}. \quad (54)$$

Here I_1, R_1, I_2 and R_2 are given in the appendix III because the formula is complicated. Let $k=2, a_1=-1, c_1=1, a=1, b=\frac{1}{3}, K_0=1, S_0=L_0, S_1=L_1, L_0=1, L_1=30$ in theorem 16, $q^{[4]}_{smooth}$ gives following 2-order rogue wave solution (Figure 4(b))

$$q^{[2]}_{rw} = -\exp^{(-i\,\frac{3\,x+t}{3})}\,\frac{v_{21}v_{22}}{v_{23}^2} \tag{55}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{21} &= -{v_{23}}^*,\\
v_{22} &= -300348\,x\,t - 204444\,x^2\,t + 41364\,t^2\,x - 1080\,\sqrt{3}\,x^4 - 24\,t^4\,\sqrt{3} + 6756\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3 - 864\,x^4\,t^2\\
&\quad - 288\,x^2\,t^4 - 48348\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3 - 48\,t^5\,\sqrt{3} - 432\,x^5\,\sqrt{3} + 55386\,x\,\sqrt{3} + 16110\,t\,\sqrt{3} + 19008\,x^3\,t\\
&\quad + 41040\,x^2\,t^2 + 6336\,t^3\,x - 1728\,x^3\,t^2 - 288\,x\,t^4 + 53262\,t^2\,\sqrt{3} - 129546\,x^2\,\sqrt{3}\\
&\quad - 181440\,i\,t - 325782\,i\,t^2 - 864\,x^6 - 432\,x^2\,t^2\,\sqrt{3} + 67644\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t^2 - 288\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3\\
&\quad - 864\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3\,t - 288\,x^2\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3 - 48\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t^4 - 77868\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t - 432\,x^4\,\sqrt{3}\,t\\
&\quad - 39204\,x^2\,\sqrt{3}\,t + 868482\,i\,x^2 + 720\,i\,t^5 + 562653\,i\,\sqrt{3} + 757836\,i\,x + 67104\,i\,t^3\\
&\quad + 9720\,i\,x^4 + 3888\,i\,x^3 + 3888\,i\,x^5 + 216\,i\,t^4 - 288\,t^2\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3 - 41472\,i\,x^2\,t\\
&\quad - 68688\,i\,x\,t^2 - 299268\,i\,t\,\sqrt{3} - 79110\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,t^2 - 32\,t^6 - 2441511\,\sqrt{3} - 1032\,t^4\\
&\quad + 7992\,x^4 + 1272726\,x + 1051650\,t + 702\,x^2 - 2592\,x^5 + 20844\,x^3 + 29052\,t^3\\
&\quad + 3888\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^2\,t^2 - 8482662 - 382077\,i + 1152\,i\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3 + 2592\,i\,t^2\,x^3 + 432\,i\,x\,t^4\\
&\quad + 3888\,i\,x^2\,t^2 + 4320\,i\,x\,t^3 + 6480\,i\,x^4\,t + 936\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,t^4 + 588384\,i\,x\,\sqrt{3} + 576\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3\\
&\quad + 305532\,i\,x\,t + 12960\,i\,x^3\,t + 4320\,i\,x^2\,t^3 + 6480\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3 + 357858\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^2\\
&\quad + 3240\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^4 - 364932\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x\,t + 134838\,t^2 + 3456\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3\,t + 5184\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^2\,t + 3888\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x\,t^2,\\
v_{23} &= -160380\,x\,t - 251100\,x^2\,t + 25812\,t^2\,x - 1080\,\sqrt{3}\,x^4\\
&\quad - 24\,t^4\,\sqrt{3} + 1572\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3 - 864\,x^4\,t^2 - 288\,x^2\,t^4 - 58716\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3 - 48\,t^5\,\sqrt{3}\\
&\quad - 432\,x^5\,\sqrt{3} - 3582\,x\,\sqrt{3} + 609030\,t\,\sqrt{3} - 12096\,x^3\,t + 25488\,x^2\,t^2 - 4032\,t^3\,x\\
&\quad - 1728\,x^3\,t^2 - 288\,x\,t^4 + 48078\,t^2\,\sqrt{3} - 145098\,x^2\,\sqrt{3} - 186921\,i\,\sqrt{3} - 161352\,i\,t\\
&\quad - 24624\,i\,x^3 - 9720\,i\,x^4 - 3888\,i\,x^5 - 216\,i\,t^4 - 150498\,i\,t^2 - 864\,x^6\\
&\quad - 432\,x^2\,t^2\,\sqrt{3} + 57276\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t^2 - 288\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3 - 864\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3\,t - 288\,x^2\,\sqrt{3}\,t^3\\
&\quad - 48\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t^4 - 93420\,x\,\sqrt{3}\,t - 432\,x^4\,\sqrt{3}\,t - 54756\,x^2\,\sqrt{3}\,t + 251100\,i\,x + 50976\,i\,t^3\\
&\quad + 432\,i\,t^5 + 498150\,i\,x^2 - 648\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^4 - 2592\,i\,t^2\,x^3 - 277668\,i\,t\,\sqrt{3} - 288\,t^2\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3\\
&\quad - 3888\,i\,x^2\,t^2 - 1296\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^3 - 335340\,i\,x\,t - 432\,i\,x\,t^4 - 32\,t^6 - 2470023\,\sqrt{3}\\
&\quad - 5352\,t^4 + 216\,x^4 - 929826\,x + 1823418\,t - 108162\,x^2 - 2592\,x^5 + 5292\,x^3\\
&\quad + 23868\,t^3 + 1296\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x\,t^2 + 1296\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^2\,t^2 + 15552\,i\,x^2\,t + 47952\,i\,x\,t^2 + 2592\,i\,x\,t^3\\
&\quad + 3888\,i\,x^4\,t + 504\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,t^4 + 18792\,i\,x\,\sqrt{3} + 7776\,i\,x^3\,t + 2592\,i\,x^2\,t^3 + 35262\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,t^2\\
&\quad + 17334\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x^2 - 322380\,i\,\sqrt{3}\,x\,t - 103626\,t^2 - 9075582 - 43335\,i.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the explicit formulas, $q^{[3]}_{rw}$ and $q^{[4]}_{rw}$, of the third and fourth rogue waves are obtained from $q^{[2k]}_{smooth}$ with $k=3$ and 4, respectively. However only $q^{[3]}_{rw}$ is given in appendix IV, and we have to omit $q^{[4]}_{rw}$ because it is 12 pages long. They are plotted in Figure 5(b) and 6(b),respectively. Of course, they are local solutions. Obviously, the degrees of polynomials

in above $q_{rw}^{[k]}(k=2,3,4)$ are 12,24,40. This fact supports following conjecture: In general, the degree of the polynomial of the denominator for the rational k-order solution in theorem 16 is $2k(k+1)$. It is a double of the corresponding degree [19] of the rogue wave for the NLS equation due to the contribution of the square of Ω_{n3} in theorem 3.

Figures 4, 5 and 6 are plotted for the second order, third order and fourth order rogue waves from $q_{smooth}^{[2k]}(k=2,3,4)$. These figures show that they are localized in both x and t direction and peaks are diffused dramatically when the value of b is increased. This observation is a strong support to show that the localization of the rogue wave for the MNLS equation is decreased remarkably by increasing the value of b. This is a unique phenomenon in a rogue wave solution of the MNLS because of the appearance of the two nonlinear terms. However, it can not happen in the rogue wave of the NLS equation.

Our method can also be applied to get other patterns of the rogue wave by selecting different values of the parameters. For example, the fundamental patterns (a simple central highest peak surrounded by several gradually decreasing peaks in two sides) of the second order, the third order and the fourth order rogue wave are plotted in Figure 7 with the help of $|q_{smooth}^{[2k]}|^2$. Similarly, a triangle pattern, a ring-decomposition pattern (a second order rogue wave surrounded by seven first rogue wave) and a pentagon pattern of the fourth order rogue wave are plotted in Figure 8(a),8(b) and 8(c), respectively. Note that Figures 7-8 are density plots of $|q_{smooth}^{[2k]}|^2$ with $a_1=-1, c_1=1, a=1, b=\frac{1}{3}$. All figures in the paper are plotted by using the analytical and exact forms of the solutions of the MNLS. The validity of theses exact solutions is verified by symbolic computation with a computer.

5. Conclusions and Discussions

In this paper, the determinant representation of the n-fold DT for the WKI system is given in theorem 2. By choosing paired eigenvalues and paired eigenfunctions in the form $\lambda_l \leftrightarrow \psi_l = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_l \\ \varphi_l \end{pmatrix}$, and $\lambda_{2l} = -\lambda_{2l-1}^*, \leftrightarrow \psi_{2l} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{2l-1}^* \\ \phi_{2l-1}^* \end{pmatrix}$, the $2k$-fold DT T_{2k} of the MNLS equation are derived in theorem 7. The smoothness of the $q^{[2k]}$ is given in theorem 9 for the non-degenerate case and in theorem 14 for the double degenerate case through the iteration and determinant representation. Furthermore, the smoothness of the rational solutions $q_{smooth}^{[2k]}$ is given in theorem 16. By a detailed analysis of the localization of the rational solutions and the rogue waves, we get an unusual result: for a given value of a, the increasing value of b can damage gradually the localization of the rational solution, and a novel two-peak rational solution with a variable height and a non-vanish boundary in section 4. Note that this two peak rational soliton just has one eigenvalue λ_0, which can not be a usual double-soliton. We have verified the validity of theses exact and analytical solutions by symbolic computation with a computer.

Finally we would like to stress that there is no doubt of the novelty of the rational solutions presented in this paper although there exists a simple gauge transformation, for example, see refs. [43,59], between the MNLS equation and the DNLS equation. To illustrate this statement clearly, we shall use following form of the DNLS equation of $\tilde{q}=\tilde{q}(X,T)$:

$$i\tilde{q}_T - \tilde{q}_{XX} + i(\tilde{q}^2\tilde{q}^*)_X = 0. \tag{56}$$

The explicit form of this gauge transformation [43,59] from the MNLS to the DNLS is

$$\tilde{q} = -q(x,t)a^{\frac{2}{5}}\exp(i\frac{abx+b^2t}{a^2}), \tag{57}$$

with $t=-\frac{T}{a^{\frac{2}{5}}}, x=\frac{-a^{\frac{6}{5}}X+2bT}{a^{\frac{7}{5}}}$. The inverse transformation maps the DNLS to the MNLS, which is given by

$$q=-\tilde{q}(X,T)a^{-\frac{2}{5}}\exp(-i\frac{-a^{\frac{6}{5}}bX+b^2T}{a^{\frac{12}{5}}}), \tag{58}$$

and $T=-a^{\frac{2}{5}}t, X=\frac{-ax-2bt}{a^{\frac{4}{5}}}$. Accordingly, there exists following transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix}|q|_x\\ \\ |q|_t\end{pmatrix}=a^{-\frac{2}{5}}\begin{pmatrix}-a^{\frac{1}{5}} & 0\\ \\ -2ba^{-\frac{4}{5}} & -a^{\frac{2}{5}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}|\tilde{q}|_X\\ \\ |\tilde{q}|_T\end{pmatrix} \tag{59}$$

between $(|q|_x,|q|_t)$ and $(|\tilde{q}|_X,|\tilde{q}|_T)$, which shows that invertible transformations in eq.(57) and eq.(58) preserve the numbers of extreme value points for a given t (or T) and stationary points in profiles of $|q|$ and $\tilde{q}$. In other words, this simple gauge transformation can not change the numbers of peaks or valleys in $|q|$ and $|\tilde{q}|$. Therefore, the first-order RW and the first-order rational soliton of the DNLS [31,60,61] can not be mapped to the two-peak rational solution of the MNLS by this transformation. By the gauge transformation eq.(58), two solutions of the MNLS with $a=1$ and $b=3$ are generated from corresponding solutions of the DNLS in eq. (56) with $\alpha_1=\beta_1=\frac{1}{2}$ and in eq. (46) with $\beta_1=\frac{1}{2}$ of Ref. [31], which are plotted in Figure 9. Similarly, two peak rational solution of the DNLS can be generated from a corresponding solution in eq. (53) of the MNLS, which is plotted in Figure 10. The two peak rational solution of the DNLS has only one eigenvalue and a non-vanishing boundary, which has never been reported in literatures.

Acknowledgments This work is supported by the NSF of China under Grant No.10971109 and 11271210. Jingsong He is also supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Ningbo under Grant No.2011A610179, and K.C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University. J. He thanks sincerely Prof. A.S. Fokas for arranging the visit to Cambridge University in 2012-2013 and for many useful discussions.

References

[1] C.Kharif and E.Pelinovsky, 2003, Physical mechanisms of the rogue wave phenomenon, Eur.J.Mech.B (Fluids). 22, 603-634.
[2] C.Kharif, E.Pelinovsky and A.Slunyaev, 2009, Rogue Waves in the Ocean (Berlin: Springer).
[3] D. R. Solli, C. Ropers, P. Koonath and B. Jalali, 2007, Optical rogue waves, Nature 450, 1054-1057.
[4] B. Kibler, J. Fatome, C. Finot, G. Millot,F. Dias, G. Genty,N. Akhmediev and J. M. Dudley, 2010, The Peregrine soliton in nonlinear fibre optics, Nature.Physics. 6, 790-795.
[5] M.S. Ruderman, 2010, Freak waves in laboratory and space plasmas, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top, 185, 57-66.
[6] W.M.Moslem, P.K.Shukla and B.Eliasson, 2011, Surface plasma rogue waves, Euro.Phys.Lett. 96, 25002 (5pp).
[7] P.K. Shukla, W.M. Moslem, 2012,Alfvénic rogue waves, Phys. Lett. A 376, 1125-1128.
[8] Yu. V. Bludov, V. V. Konotop,N. Akhmediev,2009, Matter rogue waves,Phys.Rev.A.80,033610(5pp).
[9] A.Chabchoub, N.P.Hoffmann and N.Akhmediev, 2011, Rogue Wave Observation in a Water Wave Tank, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 204502 (4pp).
[10] A. Chabchoub, N. P. Hoffmann, N. Akhmediev,2012, Observation of rogue wave holes in a water wave tank, J. Geophys. Res. 117, C00J02(5pp).
[11] A. Chabchoub, N. Hoffmann, M. Onorato, N. Akhmediev, 2012, Super RogueWaves: Observation of a Higher-Order Breather inWaterWaves, Phy.Rev. X 2, 011015(6pp).
[12] D. H. Peregrine, 1983, Water waves, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their solutions, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. B, Appl. Math. 25, 16-43.

[13] Kristian, B.Dysthe and K.Trulsen, 1999, Note on Breather Type Solutions of the NLS as Models for Freak-Waves, Phys Scri. T82, 48-52.
[14] V. I. Shrira, V. V. Geogjaev,2010 What makes the Peregrine soliton so special as a prototype of freak waves?, J. Eng. Math. 67, 11-22.
[15] V.E. Zakharov, 1968 Stability of periodic waves of finite amplitude on the surface of a deep fluid, J. Appl. Mech. and Tech. Phys. 9,190-194.
[16] V.E.Zakharov and L.A.Ostrovsky, 2009, Modulation instability: the beginning, Phys.D. 238, 540 (8pp).
[17] N.N.Akhmediev and V.I.Korneev, 1986, Modulation instability and periodic solutions of the Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Theor. Math. Phys. 69, 1089-1093.
[18] M. Erkintalo, K. Hammani, B. Kibler, C. Finot, N. Akhmediev, J. M.Dudley, and G. Genty, 2011, Higher-Order Modulation Instability in Nonlinear Fiber Optics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 253901 (5pp).
[19] P. Dubard, V.B. Matveev, 2011, Multi-rogue waves solutions to the focusing NLS equation and the KP-I equation, Nat. Hazards. Earth. Syst. Sci. 11, 667-672.
[20] P. Gaillard, 2011, Families of quasi-rational solutions of the NLS equation and multi-rogue waves, J. Phys. A: Math.Theor. 44, 435204 (15pp).
[21] A. Ankiewicz, J.D. Kedziora, N. Akhmediev, 2011, Rogue wave triplets, Phys. Lett.A. 375, 2782-2785.
[22] Y. Ohta, J.K. Yang, 2012, General high-order rogue waves and their dynamics in the nonlinear Schröedinger equation, Proc. R. Soc. A. 468, 1716-1740.
[23] D.J. Kedziora, A. Ankiewicz, and N. Akhmediev, 2011, Circular rogue wave clusters, Phys. Rev. E. 84, 056611 (7pp).
[24] B.L.Guo,L.M.Ling and Q.P.Liu, 2012, Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation: Generalized Darboux Transformation and Rogue Wave Solutions, Phys.Rev.E 85, 026607 (9pp).
[25] P. Gaillard, 2013, Degenerate determinant representation of solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, higher order Peregrine breathers and multi-rogue waves, J.Math.Phys. 54,013504(32pp)
[26] J.S.He, H.R. Zhang, L.H. Wang, K. Porsezian and A.S.Fokas,2013, Generating mechanism for higher-order rogue waves, Phys.Rev.E 87, 052914(10pp).
[27] V. B. Matveev, M.A. Salle,1991, Darboux Transfromations and Solitons(Springer-Verlag, Berlin).
[28] J.S.He, L.Zhang, Y.Cheng and Y.S.Li, 2006, Determinant representation of Darboux transformation for the AKNS system, Science in China Series A: Mathematics. 49, 1867-1878.
[29] K. Imai, 1999, Generlization of Kaup-Newell Inverse Scattering Formulation and Darboux Transformation, J.Phys.Soc.Japan. 68, 355-359.
[30] H. Steudel, 2003, The hierarchy of multi-soliton solutions of the Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 36, 1931-1946.
[31] S.W. Xu, J.S.He and L.H.Wang, 2011, The Darboux transformation of the derivative nonlinear Schrodinger equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44, 305203 (22pp).
[32] S.W. Xu and J.S.He, 2012, The rogue wave and breather solution of the Gerdjikov-Ivanov equation, J. Math. Phys. 53, 063507 (17pp).
[33] L. J.Guo, Y. S. Zhang, S.W.Xu, Z.W. Wu and J.S. He, 2014, The higher order rogue wave solutions of the Gerdjikov-Ivanov equation, Phys. Scr. 89, 035501(11pp).
[34] K. Mio, T. Ogino, K. Minami and S. Takeda, 1976, Modified Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation for Alfvén Waves Propagating along the Magnetic Field in Cold Plasmas, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 41, 265-271.
[35] A. A. Zabolotskii, 1987, Self-induced transparency and quadratic stark effect, Phys. Lett. A. 124, 500-502.
[36] M. Wadati, K. Konno and Y.H. Ichikawa, 1979, A Generalization of Inverse Scattering Method, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 46, 1965-1966.
[37] A. Kundu, 1984, Landau-Lifshitz and higher-order nonlinear systems gauge generated from nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations, J. Math. Phys. 25, 3433-3438.
[38] K. Porsezian, P. Seenuvasakumaran and K. Saravanan, 2000, Next hierarchy of mixed derivative nonlinear Schrödinge equation, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 11, 2223-2231.
[39] Q. Ding and Z.N. Zhu, 2002, On the gauge equivalent structure of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Phys. Lett. A. 295, 192-197.
[40] A.Kundu, 2006, Integrable Hierarchy of Higher Nonlinear Schrödinger Type Equations, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications. 2, 078(12pp).
[41] T.Kawata, J.I.Sakai and N.Kobayashi, 1980, Inverse Method for the Mixed Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation and Soliton Solutions, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 48, 1371-1379.

[42] A. R. Chowdhury, S. Paul and S. Sen, 1985, Periodic solutions of the mixed nonlinear Schrodinger equation, Phys. Rev. D. 32, 3233-3237.
[43] D.Mihalache, N.Truta, N.C.Panoiu and D.M..Baboiu, 1993, Analytic method for solving the modified nonlinear schrödinger equation describing solition propagation along optical fibers, Phys. Rev. A. 47, 3190-3194.
[44] E. V. Doktorov, 2002, The modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation: facts and artefacts, Eur. Phys. J. B. 29, 227-231.
[45] A.A.Rangwala and J.A. Rao, 1990, Backlund transformations, soliton solutions and wave functions of Kaup-Newell and Wadati-Konno-Ichikawa systems, J. Math. Phys. 31, 1126-1132.
[46] O.C. Wrigh, 2004, Homoclinic connections of unstable plane waves of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 20, 735-749.
[47] T.C.Xia, X. H. Chen and D.Y.Chen, 2005, Darboux transformation and soliton-like solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 26, 889-896.
[48] H.Q.Zhang, B.G.Zhai and X.L. Wang, 2012, Soliton and breather solutions of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Phys. Scr. 85, 015007(8pp).
[49] S. L. Liu and W. Z. Wang, 1993, Exact N-soliton solution of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Phys. Rev. E. 48, 3054-3059.
[50] M. Li, B. Tian, W.J. Liu, H.Q. Zhang and P. Wang, 2010, Dark and antidark solitons in the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation accounting for the self-steepening effect, Phys. Rev. E. 81, 046606(8pp).
[51] A. V. Kitaevy and A. H. Vartanianz, 1997, Leading-order temporal asymptotics of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation: solitonless sector, Inverse. Problems, 13, 1311-1339.
[52] A.H. Vartaniana, 2000, Higher order asymptotics of the modified non-linear schrödinger equation, Comm. PDE. 25, 1043-1098.
[53] X. J. Chen and J.K. Yang, 2002, Direct perturbation theory for solitons of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Phys. Rev. E. 65, 066608(12pp).
[54] V.S. Shchesnovich and E.V. Doktorov, 1999, Perturbation theory for the modified nonlinear Schrödinger solitons, Physica. D. 129, 115-129.
[55] V. M. Lashkin, 2004, Soliton of modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random perturbations, Phys. Rev. E. 69, 016611(11pp).
[56] J. C. DiFranco and P. D. Miller, 2008, The semiclassical modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation I: Modulation theory and spectral analysis, Physica D 237,947-997.
[57] J. C. DiFranco, P. D. Miller and B. K. Muite, 2011, On the modified nonlinear Schrodinger equation in the semicalassical limit: supersonic,subsonic, and transsonic behavior, Acta Mathematica Scientia 31B, 2343-2377.
[58] J. C. DiFranco and P. D. Miller, 2012, The semiclassical modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation II: Asymptotic analysis of the Cauchy probblem. The elliptic region for transsionic initial data, aXiv:arXiv:1208.1541v1.
[59] J. Lenells, The derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line Physica D 237 (2008), 3008-3019.
[60] B.L. Guo, L.L.Ling and Q.P.Liu, 2013, High-order solutions and generalized Darboux transformations of derivative nonlinear Schrodinger equations, Stud. Appl. Math. 130, 317-344.
[61] Y.S.Zhang, L.J.Guo, S.W.Xu, Z.W.Wu and J.S.He, 2014 The hierarchy of higher order solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat. 19, 1706-1722.

Appendix I:The matrix form of the one-fold Darboux matrix

Consider the universality of DT, suppose that a trial Darboux matrix T in eq.(8) is of

$$T = T(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.1}$$

Here $a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1$ are undetermined functions of x and t. From

$$T_x + T\ U = U^{[1]}\ T, \tag{A.2}$$

comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^j, j = 3, 2, 1, 0$, it yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^3 : b_1 = 0,\ c_1 = 0,\\
&\lambda^2 : q\ a_1 + 2\ i\ b_0 - q^{[1]} d_1 = 0,\ -r^{[1]}\ a_1 + r\ d_1 - 2\ i\ c_0 = 0,\\
&\lambda^1 : a_{1x} + ar\ b_0 - aq^{[1]} c_0 = 0,\ a_0 aq + \frac{1}{2} i a_1 \sqrt{2b} q - \frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{2b} q^{[1]} d_1 - 2b_0 \sqrt{2b} - a q^{[1]} d_0 = 0,\\
&\ d_{1x} + aqc_0 - ar^{[1]} b_0 = 0,\ -ar^{[1]} a_0 + 2c_0 \sqrt{2b} + d_0 ar + \frac{1}{2} i d_1 \sqrt{2b} r - \frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{2b} r^{[1]} a_1 = 0,\\
&\lambda^0 : a_{0x} + i b_0 \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} r - i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} q^{[1]} c_0 = 0,\ b_{0x} - i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} q^{[1]} d_0 + i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} q a_0 = 0,\\
&\ i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} d_0 r + c_{0x} - i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} r^{[1]} a_0 = 0,\ d_{0x} + i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} c_0 q - i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} r^{[1]} b_0 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}
$$

Similarly, from

$$T_t + T\ V = V^{[1]}\ T, \tag{A.4}$$

comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^j, j = 4, 3, 2, 1, 0$,it implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^4 : q\ a_1 + 2\ i\ b_0 - q^{[1]} d_1 = 0,\ -r^{[1]}\ a_1 + r\ d_1 - 2\ i\ c_0 = 0,\\
&\lambda^3 : -i a_1 a^2 rq + i a^2 r^{[1]} q^{[1]} a_1 - 2a^2 q^{[1]} c_0 + 2b_0 a^2 r = 0,\ 2c_0 a^2 q + i d_1 a^2 rq - 2a^2 r^{[1]} b_0 - i a^2 r^{[1]} q^{[1]} d_1 = 0,\\
&\ 3i a_1 \sqrt{2b} aq + 2a_0 a^2 q - 8b_0 a \sqrt{2b} - 3i \sqrt{2b} a q^{[1]} d_1 - 2a^2 q^{[1]} d_0 = 0,\\
&\ -2a^2 r^{[1]} a_0 - 3i \sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} a_1 + 8c_0 a \sqrt{2b} + 3i d_1 \sqrt{2b} ar + 2d_0 a^2 r = 0,\\
&\lambda^2 : -\sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} q^{[1]} a_1 - 3i \sqrt{2b} a q^{[1]} c_0 + 3i b_0 \sqrt{2b} ar - i a_0 a^2 rq + i a^2 r^{[1]} q^{[1]} a_0 + a_1 \sqrt{2b} arq = 0,\\
&\ 3i c_0 \sqrt{2b} aq + i d_0 a^2 rq + \sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} q^{[1]} d_1 - 3i \sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} b_0 - d_1 \sqrt{2b} arq - i a^2 r^{[1]} q^{[1]} d_0 = 0,\\
&\ 3i a_0 \sqrt{2b} aq + a_1 a^2 rq^2 + i b_0 a^2 rq - 8i b_0 b - 3i \sqrt{2b} a q^{[1]} d_0 - 2a_1 bq + i a_1 aq_x + i a^2 r^{[1]} q^{[1]} b_0\\
&\ -i a {q^{[1]}}_x d_1 + 2b q^{[1]} d_1 - a^2 r^{[1]} {q^{[1]}}^2 d_1 = 0,\\
&\ 2b r^{[1]} a_1 - i c_0 a^2 rq + 8i c_0 b - 2d_1 br - 3i \sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} a_0 - i d_1 a r_x + d_1 a^2 q r^2 - a^2 q^{[1]} {r^{[1]}}^2 a_1\\
&\ + i a {r^{[1]}}_x a_1 - i a^2 r^{[1]} q^{[1]} c_0 + 3i d_0 \sqrt{2b} ar = 0,\\
&\lambda^1 : -\sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} q^{[1]} a_0 + a_0 \sqrt{2b} arq + a_{1t} + \frac{1}{2} i a_1 bqr - i a {q^{[1]}}_x c_0 - 2b_0 br + 2b q^{[1]} c_0 - i b_0 a r_x\\
&\ -a^2 r^{[1]} {q^{[1]}}^2 c_0 - \frac{1}{2} i b q^{[1]} r^{[1]} a_1 + b_0 a^2 q r^2 = 0,\\
&\ -2a_0 bq + 2b q^{[1]} d_0 - i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} a r^{[1]} {q^{[1]}}^2 d_1 - a_1 \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} q_x + a_0 a^2 rq^2 - a^2 r^{[1]} {q^{[1]}}^2 d_0 + i a_0 a q_x\\
&\ + i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} a_1 arq^2 - i a {q^{[1]}}_x d_0 - b_0 \sqrt{2b} arq - \sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} q^{[1]} b_0 + \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} {q^{[1]}}_x d_1 = 0,\\
&\ -i d_0 a r_x + d_0 a^2 q r^2 + i a {r^{[1]}}_x a_0 + \sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} q^{[1]} c_0 - i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} a q^{[1]} {r^{[1]}}^2 a_1 + i \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} d_1 aqr^2\\
&\ + \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} d_1 r_x - 2d_0 br - a^2 q^{[1]} {r^{[1]}}^2 a_0 - \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}} {r^{[1]}}_x a_1 + c_0 \sqrt{2b} arq + 2b r^{[1]} a_0 = 0,\\
&\ i a {r^{[1]}}_x b_0 - a^2 q^{[1]} {r^{[1]}}^2 b_0 + \sqrt{2b} a r^{[1]} q^{[1]} d_0 - d_0 \sqrt{2b} arq - 2c_0 bq + d_{1t} + \frac{1}{2} i b q^{[1]} r^{[1]} d_1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}ibqrd_1 + 2br^{[1]}b_0 + c_0a^2rq^2 + ic_0aq_x = 0,$$

$$\lambda^0 : i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}b_0aqr^2 - i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}ar^{[1]}{q^{[1]}}^2c_0 + b_0\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}r_x + \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}{q^{[1]}}_xc_0 + a_{0t} + \frac{1}{2}ia_0bqr - \frac{1}{2}ibq^{[1]}r^{[1]}a_0 = 0,$$

$$-\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}a_0q_x + ia0\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}arq^2 - \frac{1}{2}ib_0bqr - i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}ar^{[1]}{q^{[1]}}^2d_0 - \frac{1}{2}ibq^{[1]}r^{[1]}b_0 + \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}{q^{[1]}}_xd_0 + b_{0t} = 0,$$

$$c_{0t} - \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}{r^{[1]}}_xa_0 + id_0\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}aqr^2 + \frac{1}{2}ibq^{[1]}r^{[1]}c_0 - i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}aq^{[1]}{r^{[1]}}^2a0 + d0i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}r_x + \frac{1}{2}ic_0bqr = 0,$$

$$d_{0t} - c0\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}q_x - \sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}{r^{[1]}}_xb_0 - \frac{1}{2}id_0bqr + i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}c_0arq^2 - i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}aq^{[1]}{r^{[1]}}^2b_0 + \frac{1}{2}ibq^{[1]}r^{[1]}d_0 = 0. \tag{A.5}$$

We shall construct a basic(or one-fold) Darboux transformation matrix T by solving eqs.(A.3) and eqs.(A.5). Let $a_1d_1a \neq 0$, substituting $q^{[1]} = q\frac{a_1}{d_1} + \frac{2\ i\ b_0}{d_1}$ from eq.(A.3) into $a_0aq + \frac{1}{2}ia_1\sqrt{2b}q - \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{2b}q^{[1]}d_1 - 2b_0\sqrt{2b} - aq^{[1]}d_0 = 0$ of eq.(A.3), then

$$-a_0aqd_1 + b_0\sqrt{2b}d_1 + 2iad_0b_0 + ad_0a_1q = 0; \tag{A.6}$$

substituting $r^{[1]} = r\frac{d_1}{a_1} - \frac{2\ i\ c_0}{a_1}$ from eq.(A.5) into $-ar^{[1]}a_0 + 2c_0\sqrt{2b} + d_0ar + \frac{1}{2}id_1\sqrt{2b}r - \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{2b}r^{[1]}a_1 = 0$ of eq.(A.3), then

$$-a_0ad_1r + 2ia_0ac_0 + \sqrt{2b}c_0a_1 + d_0ara_1 = 0. \tag{A.7}$$

Assume $2iad_0 + \sqrt{2b}d_1 \neq 0$ in eq.(A.6) and $2iaa_0 + \sqrt{2b}a_1 \neq 0$ in eq.(A.7), we have $b_0 = -\frac{aq(-a_0d_1 + d_0a_1)}{2iad_0 + \sqrt{2b}d_1}$ and $c_0 = -\frac{ar(-a_0d_1 + d_0a_1)}{2iaa_0 + \sqrt{2b}a_1}$. Taking these values of b_0 and c_0 into other eqations in eq.(A.3) and eq.(A.5), we find that a_1, d_1, a_0, d_0 are only function of t, which gives trivial solutions of the MNLS eqution by DT. This means we can choose $2iad_0 + \sqrt{2b}d_1 = 0$ and $2iaa_0 + \sqrt{2b}a_1 \neq 0$ in eq.(A.6) and eq.(A.7) without loss any generality, then $a_0 = i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}\frac{a_1}{a}$ and $d_0 = i\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}\frac{d_1}{a}$. Furthermore, taking $q^{[1]}$,$r^{[1]}$, a_0 and d_0 into eq.(A.3) and eq.(A.5), then $b_{0x} = -\frac{ibb_0}{a}$, $c_{0x} = \frac{ibc_0}{a}$, $b_{0t} = -\frac{ib^2b_0}{a^2}$ and $c_{0t} = \frac{ib^2c_0}{a^2}$.

Appendix II:Proof of Theorem 1

Note that $(a_1d_1)_x = 0$ is derived from the eq.(A.3) of appendix I, and then we set $a_1 = \frac{1}{d_1}$ in the following calculation. By transformation eq.(10) and eq.(A.3), new solutions

$$q^{[1]} = \frac{a_1}{d_1}q + 2\ i\ \frac{b_0}{d_1}, r^{[1]} = \frac{d_1}{a_1}r - 2\ i\ \frac{c_0}{a_1} \tag{A.8}$$

are generated by T_1 from a seed solution q. We need to parameterize T_1 by the eigenfunctions associated with λ_1. This purpose can be realized through a system of equations defined by its kernel, i.e., $T_1(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_1}\psi_1 = 0$. Solving this system of algebraic equations on (a_1, d_1, b_0, c_0), eq.(12) is obtained. Next, substituting (a_1, d_1, b_0, c_0) into eq.(A.8), new solutions $q^{[1]}$ and $r^{[1]}$

are given as eq.(14). Further, by using explicit matrix representation eq.(13) of T_1, the new eigenfunction $\psi_j^{[1]} = T_1(\lambda;\lambda_1)|_{\lambda=\lambda_j}\psi_j$ for $j \geqq 2$ becomes

$$\psi_j^{[1]} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{\varphi_1}{\phi_1}\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t))(\lambda+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}) & (\lambda_1+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ (\lambda_1+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t) & -\frac{\phi_1}{\varphi_1}\exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t))(\lambda+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a}) \end{array} \right)\Bigg|_{\lambda=\lambda_j} \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_j \\ \varphi_j \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\phi_1}\left| \begin{array}{cc} -(\lambda_j+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\phi_j & \varphi_j \\ -(\lambda_1+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\phi_1 & \varphi_1 \end{array} \right| \exp(-i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \\ \\ \frac{1}{\varphi_1}\left| \begin{array}{cc} -(\lambda_j+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\varphi_j & \phi_j \\ -(\lambda_1+i\frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2a})\varphi_1 & \phi_1 \end{array} \right| \exp(i(\frac{b}{a}x+\frac{b^2}{a^2}t)) \end{array} \right). \tag{A.9}$$

Last, a tedious calculation verifies that T_1 in eq.(13) and new solutions indeed satisfy eq.(A.3) and eq.(A.5) in appendix I. In the process of verification, it is crucial to use the fact that ψ_1 satisfies eq.(4) and eq.(5) of the Lax pair associated with a seed solution q and eigenvalue λ_1. So WKI spectral problem is covariant under transformation T_1 in eq.(13) and eq.(14). Therefore T_1 is the DT of eq.(2) and eq.(3). □

appendix III:The rational 2-order solution

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 = {} & (-54\,b+54\,a+36\,a^2)\,x^2-12\,(b-a+2\,a^2)\,(-b+a)\,x^4+8\,(-b+a)^3\,x^6 \\ & +(-216\,a^3-216\,b+216\,a+216\,a\,b-72\,a^2)\,t\,x \\ & +48\,(2\,a\,b-2\,b+5\,a^3+2\,a-6\,a^2)\,(-b+a)\,t\,x^3-96\,(a-1)\,(-b+a)^3\,t\,x^5 \\ & +(216\,a-216\,b-450\,a^2\,b+108\,a^2+18\,a^3-360\,a\,b+396\,b^2+324\,a^4)\,t^2 \\ & -72\,(-b+a)\,(12\,a^4-23\,a^3+18\,a^2+3\,a^2\,b-12\,a\,b-4\,a+4\,b+2\,b^2)\,t^2\,x^2 \\ & +24\,(-2\,b+19\,a^2-38\,a+20)\,(-b+a)^3\,t^2\,x^4+48\,(-b+a) \\ & (27\,a^5-72\,a^4+90\,a^3-52\,a^2-42\,a^2\,b+8\,a+48\,a\,b+12\,b^2\,a-8\,b-12\,b^2)\,t^3\,x \\ & -64\,(a-1)\,(17\,a^2-34\,a+20-6\,b)\,(-b+a)^3\,t^3\,x^3-12\,(-b+a)(54\,a^6-189\,a^5 \\ & -27\,a^4\,b+356\,a^4-136\,a^3\,b-364\,a^3+372\,a^2\,b+144\,a^2+68\,a^2\,b^2-204\,b^2\,a \\ & -160\,a\,b-16\,a+48\,b^2+16\,b+36\,b^3)t^4 \\ & +24\,(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)\,(-2\,b+19\,a^2-38\,a+20)\,(-b+a)^3\,t^4\,x^2 \\ & -96\,(a-1)\,(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)^2\,(-b+a)^3\,t^5\,x \\ & +8\,(-b+a)^3\,(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)^3\,t^6+9. \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = {} & -54\,a\,x-24\,(a^2+a-b)\,a\,x^3-24\,a\,(-b+a)^2\,x^5+18\,a\,(17\,a-6)\,t \\ & +72\,(-2\,a-a^2+3\,a^3+2\,b-a\,b)\,a\,t\,x^2+24\,a\,(11\,a-10)\,(-b+a)^2\,t\,x^4 \\ & -72\,(9\,a^4-15\,a^3-2\,a^2+4\,a-4\,b+8\,a\,b+3\,a^2\,b-2\,b^2)\,a\,t^2\,x \\ & -48\,(23\,a^2-42\,a-2\,b+20)\,a\,(-b+a)^2\,t^2\,x^3+24(27\,a^5-81\,a^4+60\,a^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+16\,a^2-8\,a+27\,a^3\,b-30\,a^2\,b-36\,a\,b+8\,b+12\,b^2+6\,b^2\,a)a\,t^3\\
&+48\,(45\,a^3-124\,a^2+120\,a-14\,a\,b-40+12\,b)\,a\,(-b+a)^2\,t^3\,x^2\\
&-24\,(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)\,(-2\,b+27\,a^2-46\,a+20)\,a\,(-b+a)^2\,t^4\,x\\
&+24\,a\,(3\,a-2)\,(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)^2\,(-b+a)^2\,t^5. \qquad \text{(A.11)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_2 = {}&(144\,b-144\,a^2-144\,a)\,x^2-48\,(-b+a)^2\,x^4+288\,(3\,a-2)\,(a^2+a-b)\,t\,x\\
&+192\,(a-2)\,(-b+a)^2\,t\,x^3+(-864\,b^2+288\,a^2+1296\,a^2\,b-1296\,a^4-576\,a+432\,a^3+576\,b)\,t^2\\
&+288\,(a^2+2\,a+2\,b-4)\,(-b+a)^2\,t^2\,x^2-192\,(9\,a^3-16\,a^2+10\,a\,b+8-12\,b)\,(-b+a)^2\,x\,t^3\\
&+48\,(10\,b+9\,a^2-10\,a-4)\,(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)\,(-b+a)^2\,t^4+36. \qquad \text{(A.12)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 = {}&96\,a\,(-b+a)\,x^3+144\,a\,x-24(15\,b-27\,a+18\,a^2)\,t-288\,(b-3\,a+2\,a^2)\,(-b+a)\,t\,x^2\\
&-96(-b+a)^3\,t\,x^4+288(a-2)\,(2\,b+3\,a^2-4\,a)\,(-b+a)\,t^2\,x+768(a-1)\,(-b+a)^3\,t^2\,x^3\\
&+96(9\,a^3-38\,a^2+9\,a^2\,b+20\,a+16\,a\,b-2\,b^2-12\,b)\,(-b+a)\,t^3\\
&-192(11\,a^2-22\,a+12-2\,b)\,(-b+a)^3\,t^3\,x^2+768(a-1)\,(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)\,(-b+a)^3\,t^4\,x\\
&-96(-2\,b+3\,a^2-6\,a+4)^2\,(-b+a)^3\,t^5. \qquad \text{(A.13)}
\end{aligned}
$$

appendix IV:The third order and fourth order rogue waves

Set $K_0=1, S_0=L_0=S_1=L_1=0, S_2=L_2, L_2=9000, a_1=-1, c_1=1, a=1, b=\dfrac{1}{3}$ in $q^{[6]}_{smooth}$ of theorem 16, the third order rogue wave is given by

$$
q^{[3]}_{rw}=\exp^{(-\,i\,\frac{3\,x+t}{3})}\,\frac{v_{31}v_{32}}{v_{33}^2} \qquad \text{(A.14)}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&v_{31}=-{v_{33}}^*,\\
&v_{32}=651440377800\,x\,t-860934420000000\,x^2\,t\\
&\quad+562477154400000\,t^2\,x+140867294400\,x^4\,t^2+324911217600\,x^2\,t^4\\
&\quad-130096756800\,x^3\,t-905575464000\,x^2\,t^2+713406398400\,t^3\,x\\
&\quad-204073344000000\,x^3\,t^2-47617113600000\,x\,t^4+30611001600000\,x^4\,t\\
&\quad-102036672000000\,x^2\,t^3+25339106880\,x^6+110592\,i\,x\,t^{10}+1658880\,i\,x^3\,t^8\\
&\quad+9953280\,i\,x^5\,t^6+29859840\,i\,x^7\,t^4+44789760\,i\,x^9\,t^2+1067558680800000\,i\,t\,x\\
&\quad+683645702400000\,i\,x\,t^3+30611001600000\,i\,x^3\,t+314613072000\,i\,x^3\,t^2\\
&\quad+399856208400\,i\,t\,x^2+606480469200\,i\,t^2\,x+44789760\,i\,t\,x^{10}+2764800\,i\,t^9\,x^2\\
&\quad+16588800\,i\,t^7\,x^4+3627970560000\,i\,x\,t^5+373248000\,i\,t^7\,x^2+32878483200\,i\,x^5\,t^2\\
&\quad+10825125120\,i\,x\,t^6+7936185600\,i\,t^3\,x^4+150888303360\,i\,t^5\,x^2+49766400\,i\,t^5\,x^6\\
&\quad+74649600\,i\,t^3\,x^8+191545508160\,t^6-847046095200\,t^4-88006629600\,x^4\\
&\quad-4761711360000\,t^5+645700815000000\,x+1627166053800000\,t\\
&\quad-2703334078800000\,i\,t^2-114791256000000\,i\,x^4-2456745454800\,i\,t^3\\
&\quad-695984581440\,i\,t^5-302330880\,i\,x^9-4308215040\,i\,x^7-59691453120\,i\,x^5\\
&\quad+1394713767933176175-387420489000000\,i-619872892886583900\,x^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 110199605760000\,x^5 + 218103386400000\,x^3 + 2529234007200000\,t^3 \\
&+ 391910400\,t^7\,x - 5553930240\,t^6\,x^2 + 18662400\,t^8\,x^2 - 3661562880\,t^5\,x^3 \\
&+ 216483840\,t^6\,x^4 - 16426644480\,x^5\,t^3 + 4870886400\,x^4\,t^4 + 335923200\,t\,x^9 \\
&- 5971968\,t^2\,x^{10} + 447897600\,t^3\,x^7 - 4976640\,t^4\,x^8 + 223948800\,t^5\,x^5 \\
&- 2211840\,t^6\,x^6 + 49766400\,t^7\,x^3 - 552960\,t^8\,x^4 + 4147200\,t^9\,x - 73728\,t^{10}\,x^2 \\
&+ 243792063360\,t^5\,x - 107818750080\,x^5\,t - 291371385600\,x^3\,t^3 \\
&- 12899450880\,x^6\,t^2 + 724101120\,x^6\,t^4 - 5744286720\,x^7\,t + 839808000\,x^8\,t^2 \\
&- 251942400000\,t^6\,x + 1360488960000\,t^5\,x^2 + 2267481600000\,x^4\,t^3 \\
&+ 755827200000\,x^3\,t^4 + 4081466880000\,x^5\,t^2 - 6802444800000\,x^6\,t \\
&+ 10617972480\,i\,t^7 + 671528847600000\,i\,x^2 + 114877440\,i\,t^9 + 184320\,i\,t^{11} \\
&+ 28697814000\,i\,x^3 + 293355432000000\,i\,t^4 + 929809203732704700\,i\,x \\
&+ 1511654400000\,i\,t^6 + 32651735040000\,i\,x^6 + 1549682126751993300\,i\,t \\
&- 206625142301186700\,t^2 - 50388480000\,t^7 + 938718720\,t^8 - 801792\,t^{10} \\
&- 2985984\,x^{12} - 4096\,t^{12} + 141087744\,x^{10} - 1360488960000\,x^7 + 1058158080\,x^8 \\
&+ 26873856\,i\,x^{11} - 321415516800000\,i\,t^2\,x^2 - 375551640000\,i\,x\,t^4 \\
&- 48467419200\,i\,t\,x^4 - 269263440000\,i\,t^3\,x^2 - 40814668800000\,i\,t^2\,x^4 \\
&- 27209779200000\,i\,t^4\,x^2 - 33592320\,i\,t^8\,x - 3986288640\,i\,t^3\,x^6 \\
&- 1713208320\,i\,x^8\,t - 1052559360\,i\,x^4\,t^5 - 671846400\,i\,x^3\,t^6 \\
&- 4434186240\,i\,x^7\,t^2 - 3157678080\,i\,x^5\,t^4 - 39932870400\,i\,t^4\,x^3 \\
&- 18139852800000\,i\,x^3\,t^3 - 2645395200\,i\,t\,x^6, \\
v_{33} = {} & 77484097800\,x\,t + 792059666400000\,x^2\,t + 11479125600000\,t^2\,x - 74543457600\,x^4\,t^2 \\
&- 416555265600\,x^2\,t^4 - 191318760000\,x^3\,t + 696400286400\,x^2\,t^2 \\
&- 1089241473600\,t^3\,x - 40814668800000\,x^3\,t^2 - 20407334400000\,x\,t^4 \\
&+ 275499014400000\,x^4\,t + 61222003200000\,x^2\,t^3 - 9580109760\,x^6 \\
&+ 459165024000000\,i\,x\,t^3 + 459165024000000\,i\,x^3\,t + 120530818800\,i\,t\,x^2 \\
&+ 140300424000\,i\,t\,x^4 + 389439964800\,i\,t^3\,x^2 + 327155079600\,i\,t^2\,x \\
&+ 26873856\,i\,t\,x^{10} + 1658880\,i\,t^9\,x^2 + 9953280\,i\,t^7\,x^4 + 5441955840000\,i\,x\,t^5 \\
&+ 403107840\,i\,t^7\,x^2 + 67184640\,i\,x^4\,t^5 + 253808640\,i\,x^3\,t^6 + 2821754880\,i\,x^7\,t^2 \\
&+ 1813985280\,i\,x^5\,t^4 + 44971718400\,i\,t^4\,x^3 + 28343520000\,i\,t^3\,x^4 \\
&+ 102263420160\,i\,t^5\,x^2 + 7482689280\,i\,t\,x^6 + 29859840\,i\,t^5\,x^6 + 44789760\,i\,t^3\,x^8 \\
&+ 68614413120\,t^6 - 394116645600\,t^4 + 29335543200\,x^4 - 21087578880000\,t^5 \\
&+ 439076554200000\,x - 1058949336600000\,t \\
&- 464904587876168025 - 77484097800000\,i - 619872812532704700\,x^2 \\
&- 36733201920000\,x^5 + 34437376800000\,x^3 + 631351908000000\,t^3 \\
&- 503884800\,t^7\,x - 9943326720\,t^6\,x^2 - 11197440\,t^8\,x^2 + 100776960\,t^5\,x^3 \\
&+ 77137920\,t^6\,x^4 + 4534963200\,x^5\,t^3 + 5542732800\,x^4\,t^4 - 201553920\,t\,x^9 \\
&- 5971968\,t^2\,x^{10} - 268738560\,t^3\,x^7 - 4976640\,t^4\,x^8 - 134369280\,t^5\,x^5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- 2211840\,t^6\,x^6 - 29859840\,t^7\,x^3 - 552960\,t^8\,x^4 - 2488320\,t^9\,x - 73728\,t^{10}\,x^2 \\
&- 156796352640\,t^5\,x + 9183300480\,x^5\,t + 98635449600\,x^3\,t^3 - 7255941120\,x^6\,t^2 \\
&+ 425502720\,x^6\,t^4 - 906992640\,x^7\,t + 571069440\,x^8\,t^2 - 251942400000\,t^6\,x \\
&+ 1360488960000\,t^5\,x^2 + 2267481600000\,x^4\,t^3 + 755827200000\,x^3\,t^4 \\
&+ 4081466880000\,x^5\,t^2 - 6802444800000\,x^6\,t - 44003314800\,i\,x^3 \\
&- 929809186514016300\,i\,x - 26873856\,i\,x^{11} - 100776960\,i\,x^9 \\
&- 4761711360\,i\,x^7 - 36223018560\,i\,x^5 - 68874753600000\,i\,x^4 \\
&- 464904586800000\,i\,x^2 + 176013259200000\,i\,t^4 - 206624970114302700\,t^2 \\
&- 50388480000\,t^7 - 382579200\,t^8 - 3234816\,t^{10} - 2985984\,x^{12} - 4096\,t^{12} \\
&+ 87340032\,x^{10} - 1360488960000\,x^7 + 453496320\,x^8 + 110592\,i\,t^{11} \\
&+ 943201486800\,i\,t^3 + 285645994560\,i\,t^5 + 85847040\,i\,t^9 \\
&+ 929809272607458300\,i\,t + 8162933760000\,i\,x^6 + 14016395520\,i\,t^7 \\
&+ 604661760000\,i\,t^6 + 705966224400000\,i\,t^2 - 780580540800000\,i\,t^2\,x^2 \\
&- 103312130400000\,i\,t\,x - 784265198400\,i\,x\,t^4 - 103737283200\,i\,x^3\,t^2 \\
&- 13604889600000\,i\,t^4\,x^2 - 11197440\,i\,t^8\,x - 1209323520\,i\,t^3\,x^6 \\
&- 48977602560000\,i\,x^5\,t - 110592\,i\,x\,t^{10} - 1658880\,i\,x^3\,t^8 - 9953280\,i\,x^5\,t^6 \\
&- 29859840\,i\,x^7\,t^4 - 44789760\,i\,x^9\,t^2 - 302330880\,i\,x^8\,t - 12924645120\,i\,x^5\,t^2 - 15998342400\,i\,x\,t^6.
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 1. (Color online) Profiles of $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ with a given value $a = 1$. From left to right, $b = \frac{1}{3}, b = \frac{3}{4}, b = 3$, which shows visually the lost of the localization of this solution. Note that there are two hollows in Fig(a),and there is a vale in Fig(b).



FIGURE 2. (Color online) Density plot of the rogue wave $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ with $a = 1$. From left to right, $b = 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{15}$,which shows the diffusion of the peak and hollows.



FIGURE 3. (Color online) The decrease in localization of the first order rogue wave $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ with $a = 1$. (a) Contour line at height 5 with $b = 0$ (inner,red), $\frac{1}{3}$(middle,green),$\frac{7}{15}$(outer,blue). (b) The distance from minimum point of $|q^{[1]}_{rational}|^2$ with $a = 1$ to coordinate origin.



FIGURE 4. (Color online) Diffusion of the second order rogue wave through the density plot of $|q^{[4]}_{smooth}|^2$ with $K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0, S_1 = L_1, L_0 = 1, L_1 = 30$. From left to right, $b = 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{15}$.



FIGURE 5. (Color online) Diffusion of the third order rogue wave through the density plot of $|q^{[6]}_{smooth}|^2$ with $K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = S_1 = L_1 = 0, S_2 = L_2, L_2 = 9000$. From left to right,$b = 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{15}$.



FIGURE 6. (Color online) Diffusion of the fourth order rogue wave through the density plot of $|q^{[8]}_{smooth}|^2$ with $K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = 0, S_1 = L_1 = 0, S_2 = L_2 = 500, S_3 = L_3 = 9000$. From left to right, $b = 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{15}$.



FIGURE 7. (Color online) The density plot of the fundamental pattern for rogue waves $|q^{[2k]}_{smooth}|^2 (k = 2, 3, 4)$. a)The second order:$K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = S_1 = L_1 = 0$; b)The third order:$K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = S_1 = L_1 = S_2 = L_2 = 0$; c) The fourth order: $K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = S_1 = L_1 = S_2 = L_2 = S_3 = L_3 = 0$.



FIGURE 8. (Color online) The density plot of three patterns for the fourth order rogue wave $|q^{[8]}_{smooth}|^2$. a)triangle pattern with $K_0 = 6-2i, S_0 = L_0 = 6+5i, S_1 = L_1 = 600, S_2 = L_2 = 0, S_3 = L_3 = 0$; b) ring-decomposition pattern with $K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = 0, S_1 = L_1 = 0, S_2 = L_2 = 0, S_3 = L_3 = 9000$; c) pentagon pattern $K_0 = 1, S_0 = L_0 = 0, S_1 = L_1 = 0, S_2 = L_2 = 10000, S_3 = L_3 = 0$.



FIGURE 9. (Color online) Two solutions of the MNLS generated from corresponding solutions of the DNLS. The left panel is plotted for a RW, the right panel is plotted for a rational soliton.



FIGURE 10. (Color online) Two peak solution of the DNLS equation generated from a corresponding solution of the MNLS in eq. (53). The right panel is the density plot of the left panel.